## 10. Consonants \& contoids (2)

Luciano Canepari's 2012 updating of canIPA contoids in Natural Phonetics $\mathcal{E}$ Tonetics
10.01. For the sake of thoroughness and to facilitate finding and memorizing the various consonantal articulations, we have to consider some lists, which may not be considered just entertaining, but are however something necessary. By grouping them into seven sections, with internal subdivisions, we will provide some 500 articulations (although there can certainly be others, by combining further places and manners of articulation). They will appear after the rich, but partial, table (fig 10.1).

Obviously, diphonic pairs count as one articulation, not as two. Therefore, in practice, every articulation can produce a diphonic pair, with two contoids which are distinguished only by their phonation type (ie voiced or voiceless).

In the table of fig 10.1 (which is long and therefore divided into three parts, to avoid reducing it too much and make it indecipherable), we will give only the (more than) 300 'commonest' or most 'important' articulations (out of the almost 500 included in the lists), depending on vertical and horizontal axes, for more than 500 contoids (out of the some 800 included in NPT (and in fig 10.2-8). The signs > (which alternate with the names of the manners provided, on the left side) indicate the 'intermediate' manners, which do not appear in the table. Thus, the table of contoids, although occupying three pages (fig 10.1), is merely indicative, giving only about $70 \%$ of all articulations. And, of course, the orograms show much better than definitions their real articulations, also by comparing similar orograms.

## Table of the main ${ }^{c a n}$ IPA contoids

10.02. We will now give the table of the main contoids belonging to the can IPA alphabet. It will emerge rather clearly that unitary symbols are preferable to the official ones which need so many diacritics in order to be exact.

However, the way they are placed in the table is quite sufficient to make their values clear (as happens to the vocoids in the vocogram), especially if they are coupled with their orograms (which are to be analyzed very carefully).

## ${ }^{c a n}$ IPA contoids (displayed according to articulation manners)

10.1. In our lists, in double square brackets, less common -but more precisesymbols appear, which can be rendered with more 'normal' symbols, given in simple brackets - in less sophisticated transcriptions, once their exact articulations are clearly known.
fig 10．1．can IPA contoids（more than 300 articulations out of almost 500，and more than 500 phones out of almost 8oo，of fig 10．1－7）．
CONTOIDS（1）

|  |  | 曻 |  |  |  |  |  | İ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{4} \\ & \underset{y y y}{\mid} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | Labiodent. dental |  |  | 等 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semi－nasal（ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ ） | $+$ | m2 |  |  |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Nasal（N） | $+$ | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | m | 間 | II |  |  | $n$ | ก |  | f | f1 | n |
| Stop <br> （K） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{p} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p \\ & b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | tp | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |  | t <br> d | $\mathrm{t}$ $\mathrm{d}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | も d | t d | t d |
| Stop－Strictive <br> （KX） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \varphi \\ & \mathrm{~b} \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \hat{4} \\ & \mathrm{~b} \widehat{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | pf |  |  |  |  | t $\theta$ de |  | t $\theta$ d才 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \theta \\ & \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |  | t $\theta$ <br> d |  | tz ds |
| grooved stop－str． <br> （KS） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ts}) \\ (\mathrm{d} z) \end{array}\right.$ |  | ts $\mathrm{dz}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \hat{\mathrm{~s}} \\ & \mathrm{~d} \hat{\mathrm{z}} \end{aligned}$ | ts $\mathrm{d} z$ |  | ts dz | ts dz |
| Constrictive <br> （X） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\Phi} \\ & ß \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & ß \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Psi \\ & ß \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{f} \\ & \hat{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & f \\ & \mathrm{f} \\ & \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ | f $\neq$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \mathrm{Q} \end{aligned}$ | $\theta$ ð | $\theta$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \partial \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \text { б } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |
| grooved constric． | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s} \\ & \mathrm{z} \end{aligned}$ | s <br> Z | $\begin{gathered} \hat{s} \\ \hat{Z} \end{gathered}$ | s <br> z | \＆ <br> z | 8 <br> z | S Z |
| Approximant <br> （J） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \beta \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{F} \\ & \hat{v} \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \forall \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | § |  |  |  |  | $\zeta$ $Z$ |
| lateralized app．（I） | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varrho$ |  |  |  |  | I |
| Trill（R） | ＋ | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （r） |  |  |  |  | r |
| constrictive trill <br> （R） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 5 |
| $\operatorname{tap}(Я)$ | ＋ | B |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  |  | （f） |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| flap（Я） | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Lateral（appr．）（L） | ＋ |  |  |  |  | l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ［ | I |  | f | 1 | 1 |
| lateral constrictive <br> （モ） | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\pm \begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \$\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\pm$ |
| unilater．（appr．）（L） | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Lambda$ |  |  |  |  | $\lambda$ |
| semilateral（L） | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |
| lateral tap（I） | ＋ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | $\pm$ | $0^{1}$ | $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ | $0^{3}$ | $0^{4}$ | $0^{5}$ | $1^{1}$ | $1^{2}$ | $1^{3}$ | $1^{4}$ | $1{ }^{5}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{3}$ | $2^{4}$ | $2^{5}$ | $2^{6}$ | 2 | $3^{1}$ |

CONTOIDS (2)



For sonant (or sonorant, cf $\mathbb{1 1 . 2 1}$ ) phones, which are more often voiced, we will indicate the rarest voiceless phones in round brackets (in the lists). Instead, we will indicate less frequent articulations, which are given near more normal ones (and represented by the same symbols), by putting them in round brackets (in the figures).

When no symbol is given in square brackets, it is substituted with /. For voiceless phones this appears first, while it is shown last for voiced phones. Thus, any possible ambiguity is avoided. Obviously, a symbol appearing alone stands for a laryngeal stop (or a phone with mixed phonation, or else with the phonation type indicated by the corresponding laryngogram).

An eight-pointed asterisk, *, placed before the lips of a given orogram, highlights the few canonical articulations, which constitute the frame of the official consonant inventory (although, somehow, can IPA and offIPA symbols do not fully correspond). Finally, a small ring, ${ }^{\circ}$, indicates that that articulation does not appear in the table of fig 10.1 (which -otherwise- would become impossible to handle and see adequately).

In these synopses, we will use the most precise symbols, to couple each articulation exactly with its symbol, although for some of these, generally, commoner symbols can be used (as can be seen in $\$ 10.2-8$ ).

For all our articulations (with their unitary symbols), we thought it useful to add the corresponding offIPA 'transcriptions' (given within ' '), in order to show their 'composition' (almost as in chemical or algebraic formulae).

This will be useful both to understand the combinations of the few basic symbols with so many diacritics (even if we did not use all those which could have been necessary for absolute precision), and to highlight that it is unthinkable to do 'diacritical transcriptions', as all other 'phonetic alphabets' do.

Among the fundamental criteria of the original IPA, in fact, was also that of avoiding articulatory diacritics. Let us recall that it is paramount to carefully observe the orograms, to constantly compare them, and to find similarities between symbols too, by starting from the official ones, from which the others have been derived (although with useful modifications and some necessary substitutions).


Nasals／ $\mathrm{N} /[\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}]$（75）
10．2．These include three synopses grouped into＇front＇，＇back＇，and＇semi－nasal＇ （which have no complete occlusion between the articulators）．

## 10．2．1．Front nasals［N］（38）．

$[(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{m}]^{01}$ bilabial（ $=$ between the lips）${ }^{\text {＇}[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}]^{\prime}(\neq,=)}$
$[/, \tilde{\mathrm{m}}]^{02}$ bilabial rounded（ $=$ bilab．with lip rounding）${ }^{\text {＇}\left[\mathrm{m}^{w}\right]}{ }^{\prime}$
$\llbracket / m]^{03}$ alveolarized bilabial（ $=$ bilab．w．tip approach．alv．ridge，without contact）＇［mñ $]$＇
$[(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{m}]^{04}$ palatalized bilabial＇$\left[\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}$

$[(\mathrm{m}) \text { ，} \mathrm{m}]^{06}$ velarized bilabial ${ }^{〔}\left[\mathrm{~m}^{\gamma} \text { ，} \mathrm{m}^{\gamma}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \mathrm{m}]^{07} \llbracket \mathrm{~m} \rrbracket$ uvularized bilabial＇$[\mathrm{m} \mathrm{g}]$＇
$[/, \mathrm{m}]^{08}$ labial－apical（＝between the upper lip and the tip of the tongue）＇$\left.[\mathrm{m}]\right]^{\prime}$
$\llbracket /, \mathrm{m}]^{09} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ labiodentalized bilabial ＇$\left[\mathrm{m}{ }^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$＇
$[(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{m}]^{10}$ labiodental（＝between the lower lip and the upper teeth）${ }^{〔}[\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}]$ ’ $(\neq=$ ）
$[/, \mathrm{m}]^{11}$ hyper－labiodental（ $=$ labiodent．，w．firmer contact，no air passes betw．teeth）＇$[\mathfrak{m}]$ ］
$[/, \mathfrak{m}]^{12}$ labiodental rounded（＝labiodent．＋lip rounding）＇$\left[\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \mathrm{m}]^{13} \quad$ palatalized labiodental ${ }^{\text {［ }\left[\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}}$
$\left[/, \mathrm{mm}^{1 / 4}\right.$ velarized labiodental＇$\left[\mathrm{m}^{87}\right]^{14}$

$\llbracket(\mathrm{hr}), \mathrm{rl}]^{16}[(\mathrm{~h}), \mathrm{m}]$ dental－bilabial（ $=$ simult．dent．\＆bilab．）$\left.{ }^{\text {＇}[\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}]}\right]$
$\mathbb{L}, \mathrm{m} \rrbracket^{17}$ bilabialized dental（＝with secondary labialization）＇$[$ In m$]$＇
$\mathbb{K} /$ ， m$]^{18}$ bilabialized palatalized dental（＝with secondary labializ．\＆palataliz．）‘［ñmi］＇
$\llbracket /, \mathrm{n}]^{19}$［n］dental，or prodental（＝dent．with a lowered or raised tip ）＇［n］or［ṇ］
$\mathbb{I} / \mathrm{n}]^{20} \quad[\hat{n}]$ dental rounded ${ }^{\text {＇}\left[\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{w}}\right] \text { ］}}$
$\llbracket / \mathrm{ft}]^{21} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ uvularized dental＇$[\mathrm{n} \mathbf{n}]$ ］
$\mathbb{[} / \mathrm{n}]^{22} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ denti－alveolar（or postdental，or prealveolar）＇［n］＇



［（h），n］${ }^{26}$ alveolar（ $=$ between the alveoli and the tip of the tongue）＇［ñ，n］＇（ $\neq \equiv$ ）
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{n}}]^{27}$ alveolar rounded＇$\left[\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇
$\mathbb{K}, \mathrm{r} \mathbb{1}]^{28} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ labiodentalized alveolar＇［n $\left.\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{V}}\right]$＇
$[(\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{n}]^{29} \quad$ velarized alveolar＇$\left[\mathrm{n} \gamma \text { ，} \mathrm{n}^{\gamma}\right]^{\prime}$


$[(\eta), \eta\rceil^{32}$ postalveolar：（apico－）．．．（＝not laminal）‘［ $\left.\AA, \eta\right]^{\prime}(\neq,=)$
$[/, \hat{\wedge}]^{33}$ postalveolar rounded：（apico－）．．．＇［ $\left[\mathrm{n}^{w}\right]$＇

$\mathbb{I} /$ ，r $]^{35}$ postalveolar－bilabial：（apico－）．．．（＝simult．postalveol．\＆bilab．）＇$[\mathfrak{\eta m}]$＇

$[/, ~ n]^{37} \quad$ apico－palatal（ $=$ between the［hard］palate and the tip）＇［币 $]$ ］
$\left[/, \hat{\eta}^{38} \quad\right.$ apico－palatal rounded（with lip rounding）＇［ $\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{w}]}$ ］．
fig 10.2.1. Front nasal orograms (38).

10.2.2. Back nasals [N] (22).

$\llbracket /, \mathrm{f} \rrbracket^{40} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ velarized postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... $\left.{ }^{[\mathrm{n}} \gamma\right]^{\prime}$


$[/, \mathrm{n}]^{43}$ pro-palatal ( $=$ between prepalatal and palatal) $\left.{ }^{〔}[\mathrm{f}]^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[(\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{n}]^{44}$ palatal (= between the [hard] palate and the [medio]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}]$ ' $(\neq,=)$
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{\rho}}]^{45} \quad$ palatal rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$ '
$[/, \mathfrak{f}]\left[\mathfrak{f} \rrbracket \rrbracket^{46}\right.$ uvularized palatal ' $[\mathrm{n} \gamma \quad$ ]
$\llbracket /, ~ \mathrm{n} \rrbracket^{47} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ postpalatal ( $=$ retracted palatal or advanced prevelar) ' $[\overline{\mathrm{j}}]$ '
$\llbracket /, \mathfrak{y}]^{48} \quad[\mathfrak{q}]$ prevelar (= between the prevelum and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathfrak{f}]$ ]
$[(\mathfrak{)}), \mathrm{y}]^{49}$
velar (= between the velum and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathfrak{j}, \mathrm{y}]$ ’ $(\neq,=)$
$[/, \hat{n}]^{50} \quad$ velar rounded (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\eta^{w}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \mathrm{m}]^{51} \quad$ velar-bilabial (= simult. velar and bilabial) ' $[\mathfrak{j m}]$ '
$\llbracket /, \mathfrak{j} \rrbracket \rrbracket^{52} \quad[/, \eta]$ labiodentalized velar ${ }^{\prime}\left[\eta^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$ '
$[/, \mathrm{r}]]^{53} \quad$ velar-dental (= simult. velar and dental) '[ๆn] ${ }^{\text {n }}$
$\llbracket /, ~ ๓]^{54}$ dentalized velar (with secondary dentalization) ' $[\mathfrak{\eta}$
$[/, \mathrm{r}]]^{55}$ velar-alveolar (= simult. velar and alveolar) '[ๆn]'

$[(\mathrm{N}), \mathrm{s}]^{57}$ uvular (= between the uvula and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{n}]^{\prime}(\neq,=)$
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{n}}]^{58} \quad$ uvular rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$[/, \mathrm{n}]^{59} \quad$ pharyngealized uvular ' $[\mathrm{N}$ $]$ or $[\mathrm{N}]$ '
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{N}}]^{60} \quad$ pharyngealized uvular rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{sw}}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '.
fig 10.2.2. Back nasal orograms (21).

10.2.3. Semi-nasal, or semi-... nasal (15).
$\llbracket / \mathrm{m} \rrbracket]^{61} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ semi-bilabial (= bilabial, but with no full contact) ' $[\mathrm{m}]$ '
$\llbracket /, \mathrm{m} \rrbracket^{62} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ velarized semi-bilabial (= bilabial, with no full contact \& velarization) ' $[\mathrm{m} \gamma]^{\gamma}$
$\llbracket /, \mathrm{m} \rrbracket{ }^{63} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ semi-labiodental ( $=$ labiodental, with no full contact) '[m]'
$\llbracket /$, m $\rrbracket^{64} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ velarized semi-labiodental ( $=$ betw. lower lip and upper teeth \& velariz.) ' $\left[\mathrm{m}^{\gamma}\right]$ ’

$\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{a} \rrbracket^{66} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ semi-alveolar ( $=$ alveolar, with no full contact) '[ñ]

$\llbracket /, ~ 凤 \rrbracket]^{68} \quad$ (or $\llbracket \mathrm{q} \rrbracket$ ) $[\eta]$ semi-postalveolar (= postalveolar, with no full contact) ' $[\uparrow]$ ’



$\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{p} \rrbracket^{72} \quad[\mathrm{n}]$ semi-palatal (= with no full contact) '[ $[\mathrm{j}]$ '
$\llbracket /, ~ \mathfrak{~} \rrbracket^{73} \quad[\mathfrak{\eta}]$ semi-provelar (= provel., with no full contact) ' $[\mathfrak{j}]$ ]
$\llbracket /, \mathfrak{m} \rrbracket^{74} \quad[\mathrm{~m}]$ semi-velar-bilabial (= simult. velar and bilabial, with no full contact) '[ŋŋTM] $\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{m}]^{75} \quad[\mathrm{~N}]$ semi-uvular ( $=$ uvular, with no full contact) '[ N$]^{7}$ '.
fig 10.2.3. Semi-nasal orograms (15).


Stops /K/ [K, K] (76)
10.3. These include four synopses: front, back, and laryngeal; with the addition of some 'semi-stops'.

### 10.3.1. Front stops [K] (39).

$[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{01} \quad$ bilabial (= between the lips) ' $[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{\prime}(=)$
$[\hat{p}, b]^{02}$ bilabial rounded (= bilabial with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{03} \quad$ palatalized bilabial ' $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{04} \quad$ velarized bilabial ' $\left[\mathrm{p}{ }^{\gamma}, \mathrm{b}^{0}\right]$ '
$[\hat{p}, \mathrm{~b}]^{05} \quad$ velarized bilabial rounded ' $\left[p^{\gamma v w}, b^{\gamma v}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{06} \llbracket \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b} \rrbracket$ uvularized bilabial ‘[ $\left.\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{\gamma}}, \mathrm{~b}_{-}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]^{\prime}$ '
$\left[\hat{p}, b^{6}\right]^{07} \llbracket \hat{p}, \mathrm{~b} \rrbracket$ uvularized bilabial rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{p}_{-}^{\gamma \mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{b}_{-}^{\gamma \mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b} \rrbracket^{08} \quad[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]$ labiodental (=between the lower lip and the upper teeth) ' $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]^{\prime}$
$\llbracket \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b} \rrbracket^{09} \quad[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]$ labiodental rounded (=between lower lip and upper teeth) ' $\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{vw}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{vw}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]^{10}$ labial-apical (= between the upper lip and the tip of the tongue) ' $[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ '
$\llbracket p, b \rrbracket^{11}$ semi-alveolarized bilabial (= bilab. with tip approaching alveoli, no contact) '[ $[\underset{T}{t}, ~ b d] ' ~$
$[\mathrm{tp}, \mathrm{b}]^{12}$ dental-bilabial (= simult. dent. \& bilab.) '[țp, dib]'

$\llbracket t, d \rrbracket^{14} \quad[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ labiodentalized dental (with a lowered tip) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$ '

$\llbracket \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \rrbracket^{16} \quad[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ dental, or predental (with a lowered tip) ' $[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}]$ '
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]^{17}$ dental, or lamino-dental (with a raised tip) '[ $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]^{18}$ dental rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ ]
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]^{19}$ velarized dental ' $[\mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}$, d d r$]$ '

$\llbracket t, d \rrbracket^{21} \quad[t, d]$ denti-alveolar (or postdental, or prealveolar) (with raised tip) ' $[t, d]$,
$\llbracket \mathfrak{E}, \ddagger \rrbracket^{22} \quad$ semi-labialized denti-alveolar (with no firm bilabial contact) '[t[pp, dib]
$[t p, \phi]^{23}$ alveolar-bilabial (= simult. alveol. \& bilab.) ' $[\mathrm{tp}$, db]'
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]^{24}$ alveolar (= between the alveoli and the tip of the tongue) ' $[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}$ '

$[\hat{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{q}]^{26} \quad$ alveolar rounded (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$

$[\ddagger, \ddagger]^{28} \llbracket \ddagger$, $\ddagger \rrbracket$ uvularized alveolar '[t]
$\left[\hat{f}, \mathbb{q}^{q}\right]^{29}$ velarized alveolar rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\gamma w}, \mathrm{~d}^{\gamma w}\right]$ '
$[\ddagger, 4]{ }^{30}$ back-alveolar: (apico-)... (= not laminal) ' $\left.[t, 4 \text {, }]^{\prime}\right]$
$[t, d]^{31}$ postalveolar: (apico-)... (= not laminal) ‘[t, d]’ (=)
$[\mathrm{tp}, \Phi]^{32}$ postalveolar-bilabial: (apico-)... (= simult. postalveol. \& bilab.) ' $\left.โ \mathfrak{t p}, \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~b}\right]$ ’
$\left[\hat{\chi}, \hat{q}^{33}\right]^{33}$ postalveolar rounded: (apico-)... ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$[t, q]^{34}$ velarized postalveolar: (apico-)... '[t $\left.t^{\gamma}, d^{8}\right]$ ’
$\left[\hat{\ell}, \mathrm{q}^{35}\right.$ velarized postalveolar rounded: (apico-)... (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\gamma w} \text {, } \mathrm{d}^{\gamma w}\right]^{\text {' }}$
$[t, q]]^{36}$ back-postalveolar: (apico-)... (= not laminal) ' $[\bar{t}$, $\bar{d}]$ ’
[ t , d$]^{37}$ apicopalatal (= between the [hard] palate and the tip) '[ f , d d$]$ '
[ $\hat{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}]^{38} \quad$ apicopalatal rounded (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '

fig 10.3.1. Front stop orograms (39).

10.3.2. Back stops [K] (25).
$\llbracket \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d} \rrbracket^{38}$ postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... ‘[taj, $\left.\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}\right]$ '



[ t , ḑ] $]^{42}$ pre-palatal: (lamino-)... (= between the prepalate and the lamina) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{j}}\right.$, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ ]

$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]^{44} \quad$ pre-palatal rounded: (lamino-)... '[taiw, dijw]'
$\left[\frac{\xi}{5}, \underset{d}{ }\right]^{45} \quad$ pro-palatal ( $=$ between prepalatal and palatal) ' $\left[\mathrm{c}^{+}, \mathrm{f}^{+}\right]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{F}]^{46}$ palatal (= between the [hard] palate and the [medio]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}]^{\prime}$ (=)
$[\hat{c}, \hat{f}]^{47} \quad$ palatal rounded ' $\left[c^{w}, f^{w}\right]$ '

$[\hat{\epsilon}, \hat{f}]^{49} \llbracket \hat{\epsilon}, \hat{f} \rrbracket$ uvularized palatal rounded ${ }^{\prime}\left[\underline{c}_{-}^{\gamma w}, f_{-}^{\delta w}\right]$ '

$\llbracket \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]^{51} \quad[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]$ prevelar (= between the prevelum and the [post]dorsum) ${ }^{‘}[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]{ }^{\mathrm{g}}$
$[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]^{52}$ velar (= between the velum and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]^{\prime}(=)$
$[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]^{53} \quad$ velar rounded (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{kp}, \Phi]^{54} \quad$ velar-bilabial ( $=$ simult. velar and bilabial) ' $[\mathrm{kp}, ~$ gb]'
$\llbracket \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} \rrbracket{ }^{55}$ semi-dentalized velar (with tip approach. the teeth, but with no contact) ' $[\mathrm{kt}, ~ \widetilde{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~T}]$ ’
$[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{d}]^{56}$ velar-dental (= simult. velar and dental) ' $[\mathrm{kt}$, gd] $]$
$\mathbb{K k}, \mathrm{g} \rrbracket]^{57}[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]$ velar-alveolar (= simult. velar and alveolar) ' $[\mathrm{kt}, ~ \mathrm{gd}]$ '
$[\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G}]^{58}$ uvular (= between the uvula and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G}]^{\prime}(=)$
$[\hat{q}, \hat{\mathrm{G}}]^{59}$ uvular rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G}]^{60} \quad$ pharyngealized uvular ' $\left[\mathrm{q}^{5}, \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{G}}\right]^{\prime}$
[ $\mathrm{q}, \hat{\mathrm{q}}]^{61} \quad$ pharyngealized uvular rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{qw}}, \mathrm{G}^{\text {sw }}{ }^{6}\right.$ '
[ $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Z}]^{62}$ pharyngeal (= between the lower pharynx and root of tongue, 'epiglottal') '[?, ? ${ }^{6}$ '.
fig 10.3.2. Back stop orograms (25).

10.3.3. Laryngeal stops [?] (4) - voiceless (or a-voiced, by definition).
$[\mathrm{r}]^{63}$ laryngeal (= between the vocal folds, including the arytenoid cartilages) '[r]’ (=)
$\llbracket ?]^{64} \quad[\mathrm{r}]$ laryngeal rounded (with lip rounding) ' $[\mathrm{rw}]^{\mathrm{w}}$ '
$\llbracket \mathfrak{f}]^{65} \quad[\mathrm{r}]$ palatalized laryngeal (with the dorsum raised towards the [hard] palate) ' $[\mathrm{r} \mathrm{j}]$ '
$\llbracket ? \rrbracket^{66}[\mathrm{z}] \quad[\mathrm{P}]$ uvularized laryngeal (with the [post]dorsum raised towards the uvula) '[ŗ]'.
fig 10.3.3. Laryngeal stop orograms (4).

10.3.4. Some 'semi-stops' are also possible, which are less firmly articulated (ie with partial occlusion). They remain different both from very tense constrictives and very lax stopstrictives. We will indicate here only those produced at the most important places of articulation, practically the official ones (8). Their generic symbol is [ K ], whereas they can be represented with the diacritic shown. It is important to observe well (in the nearby enlargements) the non-contact at the articulation places. For the laryngeal phone offig 10.3.3, but most of all of fig 4.4.B.
$\llbracket p, b \rrbracket[\dot{p}, b]]^{67}[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]$ bilabial (with no full contact) ' $[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}]$ '
$\llbracket \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \rrbracket[\mathrm{t}, \underset{\substack{\text { d }}}{68} \quad[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ dentale (with no full contact) ' $[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}]$
$\llbracket \mathfrak{q}, \mathrm{q} \rrbracket[\mathfrak{j}, \mathrm{d}]]^{69} \quad[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ alveolar (with no full contact) ' $[\mathrm{t}$, d$]$ ’
$\llbracket t, d \rrbracket[t, d]^{70} \quad[t, d]$ postalveolar (with no full contact) ${ }^{\text {ch}}[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}]$ ]

$\llbracket \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} \rrbracket[\mathrm{k}, \dot{\mathrm{g}}]^{72} \quad[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}]$ velar (with no full contact) ' $[\mathrm{k}, ~ ¢ \mathrm{~g}]$ '
$\llbracket \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G} \rrbracket[\dot{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{G}]^{73}[\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{G}]$ uvular (with no full contact) ${ }^{‘}\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{q} \\ \mathrm{G}\end{array} \mathrm{G}\right]$ ’
$\llbracket ? \rrbracket[?]^{74} \quad[\mathrm{P}]$ laryngeal (with no full contact) ‘[?T]'.
fig 10.3.4. Semi-stop diagrams (8).


Stop-strictives /Kइ/ [KX, $\left.\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{KS}, \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{K} \neq, \mathrm{KR}, \mathrm{KX}, \mathrm{KS}\right]$ (still called 'affricates' - 112)
10.4. These include ten synopses of plain (or 'slit', or un-grooved) and grooved phones. Of course, grooved refers to the actual groove which can be formed on the tip and lamina of the tongue. Also some laterals and trills are given, since for these phones a stopstrictive manner is frequent.

We include the synopses of stop-semistrictives (or stop-semiconstrictives, with a semiconstrictive second element, $\S 10.4 \cdot 5-6$ ) and also the synopses of semi-stop--strictives. There are two kinds of semistop-strictives: by detension and by proportion. Those by detension have, as their first element, a semistop ( $\$ 10.3 .4 \& \$$ 10.4.7-8). Those by proportion have a very short stop as their first element.
10.4.1. Stopstrictives /KX/ [KX] (25).
$[\mathrm{pp}, \mathrm{bB}]^{01}$ bilabial (= between the lips) ' $[\mathrm{p} \Phi, \boxed{\mathrm{b}}]$ '
$[\mathrm{p} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b} \beta]^{02}$ bilabial rounded (= bilabial with lip rounding) ' $\left.\left[\mathrm{p} \Phi^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
[pf, bv] ${ }^{03}$ labiodental (= between the lower lip and the upper teeth) '[pf, 厄v]'
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \ell]^{04}$ dental, or pro-dental (with a lowered tip) ' $[\mathrm{t} \theta$, $\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{d}\rceil$ ’
$[t \theta, \mathrm{~d} \varnothing]^{05}$ dental, or lamino-dental (with a raised tip of the tongue) ' $[t \theta, \mathrm{~d} \delta]$ '
$[\mathrm{t} \theta, \mathrm{d} \partial]^{06}$ dental rounded (with raised tip of the tongue) ' $\left[\mathrm{t} \theta \mathrm{\theta}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '












$\llbracket \mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{gy} \rrbracket^{19} \quad[\mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{gy}]$ prevelar ( $=$ between the prevelum and the [post] dorsum) ' $\left.[\mathrm{kx}, \overparen{98}]^{+}\right]$
$[\mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{gy}]^{20} \quad$ velar (= between the velum and the [post]dorsum) ' $[\mathrm{kx}, \overparen{\mathrm{gy}}]$ '
$[\mathrm{k} \hat{x}, g \mathrm{~g}]^{21} \quad$ velar rounded (with lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{kx}^{\mathrm{w}}, \widehat{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{kX}, \mathrm{gr}]^{22}$ uvular ( $=$ between the uvula and the [post]dorsum) '[ $[\overline{\mathrm{qX}}, \mathrm{GE}]$ '
$[\mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{s}]^{23}$ uvular rounded ' $\left[\widehat{\mathrm{qX}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{Gb}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '


fig 10.4.1. Stop-strictive orograms (25).

10.4.2. Grooved stopstrictives / KS/ [KS] (35).
$[\mathrm{t} \text {, } \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}]^{26}$ labiodentalized dental (with a lowered tip of the tongue) ' $\left[\mathrm{ts}{ }^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{z}]^{27}$ labiodentalized dental (with a raised tip of the tongue) ' $\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$ '

$[\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}]^{29}$ dental (with a lowered tip) '[ $[$ ts,, dz$]$ '
$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} \hat{z}]^{30}$ dental rounded (with a lowered tip + lip rounding) ' $\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{dz}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{w}}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket t s, d z \rrbracket^{31} \quad[\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}]$ denti-alveolar (with a raised tip) '[tss, dz]’

[ts, dq] ${ }^{33}$ alveolar (between the alveoli and the tip) ' [tss,$\left.~ \overline{d z}\right]$ '






[ t , $\mathrm{d} \neq]^{40}$ velarized postalveolar: (apico-)... ( $=$ not laminal) ' $\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\gamma}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\gamma}\right]$ ]
[ t


[ $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}_{2}\right]^{44}$ postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... (between the postalveolar area and the lamina, with

$\left[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}_{3}\right]^{45}$ postalveo-palatal protruded: (lamino-)... (with protr., not just round.) ' $[\mathrm{t}]^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{d}_{3} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ]'

$\llbracket \mathrm{tf}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{d}_{2} \rrbracket^{47} \quad\left[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}_{2}\right]$ postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... (between the postalveolar area and the lamina, with raising of the mediodorsum, and with raised tip) '[畼 ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{O}} 3^{\mathrm{j}}$ ]'
$\llbracket 4\}, \mathrm{d}_{5} \rrbracket^{4}$
[ t , $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ] postalveo-palatal protruded: (lamino-)... ' $\left[\mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}} \text {, } \mathrm{d}_{3} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$ '

$\left[\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{d},\right]^{50}$
postalveo-prevelar: (lamino-)... (with raising of the dorsum towards the prevelum,

$\left[t{ }^{\circ}, d_{3}\right]^{5}$ postalveo-prevelar protruded: (lamino-)... ‘[ $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{[ }\right]_{+}^{\gamma w}, ~ d\right]_{+}^{\gamma w}\right]$ '









fig 10.4.2. Grooved stop-strictive orograms (34).


10.4.3. Lateral stopstrictives [K£] (11).


$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} b]^{63}$ alveolar rounded (with lateral contraction) ' $\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{j}} \text {, } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{\mathrm{jww}}\right]^{\text {' }}$





$\left[\mathrm{kA}, g^{k}\right]^{69} \quad$ palatal (with lateral contraction) ' $\left[\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}_{2}}, \overrightarrow{I_{2}}\right]$ ]


fig 10.4.3. Lateral stop-strictive orograms (11).

10.4.4. Tapped and trilled stopstrictives [KR] (4).

$[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{dj}]^{73}$ trilled alveolar ' $\left[\right.$ trit, dr $\left.{ }^{\text {dr }}\right]$ '

$[\mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{F}}, \mathrm{g} \hat{\mathrm{R}}]^{75}$ trilled uvular rounded ' $\left[{\widetilde{\mathrm{q}_{2+}^{+}}}^{\mathrm{fw}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{GR}}^{\mathrm{fw}}\right]^{\prime}$.
fig 10.4.4. Tap \& trill stop-strictive orograms (4).

10.4.5. Stop-semi (con)strictives $\left[K^{\mathrm{X}}\right]$ (7, others are possible).


$\llbracket \Theta \theta, \mathrm{d} \not \rrbracket^{78} \quad[\mathrm{t} \theta, \mathrm{d} \varnothing]$ dental ( $=$ with semi-constrictive second element) ' $[\mathrm{T} \theta$, $\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\partial}]$ ’


$\llbracket \mathrm{ky}, \mathrm{gy} \rrbracket^{81}[\mathrm{kX}, \mathrm{gr}]$ velar rounded (= with semi-constrictive second element) ' $\left[\mathrm{kx}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathbf{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket \mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{gl} \rrbracket^{82} \quad[\mathrm{kX}, \mathrm{gr}]$ uvular ( $=$ with semi-constrictive second element) ' $[\mathrm{k} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}]$ '.
fig 10.4.5. Stop-semi-(con)strictive orograms (7).

10.4.6. Grooved stop-semi(con)strictives [ $K^{\mathrm{S}}$ ] (8, others are possible). Of course, their groove is less marked than for normal phones, and thus possible even for palatal and postpalatal phones.
$\llbracket t s, d z \rrbracket^{83} \quad[t s, d z]$ dental (= with semi-constrictive second element) ' $[t \in s, d z]$ '
【tş, dq$]^{84} \quad[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{dz}]$ alveolar ( $=$ with semi-constrictive second element) ' $[\mathrm{tss}, \mathrm{dz}]$ '






fig 10.4.6. Grooved stop-semi-(con)strictive orograms (8).

10.4.7. Semistop-strictives by proportion [KX] (6, others are possible).




$\llbracket{ }^{\mathrm{k} x},{ }^{9} \rrbracket^{95} \quad[\mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{gy}]$ velar ( $=$ with reduced first element) ' $\left.[\mathrm{kx}, \breve{9} \mathrm{~g}]\right]^{\prime}$

fig 10.4.7. Semi-stop-strictives by proportion (6).

10.4.8. Grooved semi-stop-strictives by proportion [KS] (5).
$\llbracket t s, d \rrbracket^{97} \quad[\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}]$ dental (= with reduced first element) '[țs, dz$]$ ’




fig 10.4.8. Grooved semi-stop-constrictives by proportion (5).

10.4.9. Semistop-strictives by detension [KX] (6, others are possible).
$\llbracket \mathrm{pf}, \mathrm{bv} \rrbracket^{102}$ [pf, bv] labiodental (= with semistopped first element) '[pff, চTv]'
$\llbracket \forall \theta, \mathrm{d} \partial \rrbracket^{103}[t \theta, \mathrm{~d} \varnothing]$ dental ( $=$ with semistopped first element) ' $[\mathrm{t} \theta, \mathrm{d} \partial]$ '


$\llbracket \mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{g} \gamma \rrbracket^{106}[\mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{g} \gamma]$ velar ( $=$ with semistopped first element) ' $\left[\mathrm{kx}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{I}\right]$ '

fig 10.4.9. Semi-stop-constrictives by detension (6).

10.4.10. Grooved semistop-strictives by detension [KS] (5, others are possible).





fig 10.4.10. Grooved semi-stop-strictives by detension (5).


Constrictives $/ \Sigma /[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{s}]$ (still called 'fricatives' - 122)
10.5. These comprise five synopses of plain (or 'slit', or un-grooved) and grooved phones. Again, grooved refers to the actual groove which can be formed on the tip and lamina of the tongue. Also the possible laryngeal constrictives are shown; semi-constrictives are given, as well (including grooved ones). Instead, the synopses of lateral and trilled constrictives are placed among the corresponding manners, since that is their prevailing aspect.
10.5.1. Constrictives [X] (40).
$[\varphi, B]^{01} \quad$ bilabial (= between the lips) ' $[\phi, \beta]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[\hat{\phi}, \underline{B}]^{02} \quad$ bilabial rounded (= bilabial with lip rounding) ${ }^{\text {' }}\left[\Phi^{\mathrm{w}}, \beta^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$\left[\mathrm{q}, \beta_{3}\right]^{03} \quad$ palatalized bilabial ' $\left[\phi^{\mathrm{j}}, \beta^{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\Phi, \beta]^{04} \quad$ velarized bilabial ' $\left[\Phi^{\gamma}, \beta \gamma\right]$ '
$\llbracket \varphi, \beta \rrbracket^{05}[\varphi, \beta]$ uvularized bilabial ' $\left[\phi_{-}^{\gamma}, \beta_{-}^{\gamma}\right]$ ’
$[Q, \beta]^{06}$ labial-apical (= between the upper lip and the tip of the tongue) ' $[s, z]$ '
$[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}]^{07}$ labiodental (= between the lower lip and the upper teeth) ' $[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}]^{\prime \prime}$ ' (=)
$[\mathrm{f}, \hat{\mathrm{v}}]^{08}$ labiodental rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{fw}\right.$ w $\left.\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$[f, y]^{09} \quad$ palatalized labiodental ' $\left[f \mathrm{fj}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{vj}^{\mathrm{j}}\right.$ '

$[f, w]^{11} \quad$ velarized labiodental rounded ' $\left[f \mathrm{ffw}^{1 /}, \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{rw}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket f, \mathrm{~F}^{12}{ }^{12}[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{m}]$ uvularized labiodental ' $[\mathrm{f} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{v}$

$\mathbb{U}_{\theta}, \mathrm{Q} \rrbracket^{14}[\theta, \nearrow]$ dental or pre-dental (with a lowered tip), or predorsal-dental ' $\left[\theta \mathrm{s}, \chi_{z}\right]$ '

$[\theta, \partial]^{16}$ dental (with a raised tip) ' $[\theta, \partial]^{16}(=)$
$[\theta, \partial]^{17}$ dental rounded (with a raised tip) ' $\left[\theta^{\mathrm{w}}, \partial^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket \ominus, \partial_{\partial} \rrbracket^{18} \quad\left(\left[\theta \mathrm{j}, \chi_{j}\right]\right)$ palatalized dental (with a lowered or raised tip) ' $\left[\theta^{\mathrm{j}}, \chi_{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ '
$[\theta, ð]^{19} \quad$ velarized dental (with a raised tip) ' $[\theta \gamma, \partial \gamma]$ ’
$\llbracket \theta, ð \rrbracket^{20}[\theta, ð]$ uvularized dental (with a raised tip) ' $[\theta \underline{-}, \not \subset ð]$ ’

$[\hat{\imath}, \hat{s}]^{22} \quad$ alveolar rounded ' $\left[\hat{I}_{\underline{1}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}},{\underset{I}{1}}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}\right.$ ]'


[ç, j] palatal '[ç, j]' ( $=, \neq$ )
[ç, $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{d}}\right]^{26} \quad$ palatal rounded '[çw, $\left.\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$\llbracket \xi \in, \dot{j} \rrbracket^{27}[\epsilon,, \dot{j}]$ uvularized palatal ' $\left[c_{-}^{\gamma}, \dot{j}_{-}^{\gamma}\right]$ '
$\llbracket \mathcal{c},{ }_{\alpha} \rrbracket{ }^{28} \quad[c ̧, j]$ postpalatal ( $=$ retracted palatal or advanced prevelar) ' $[\bar{c}, \bar{j}, \bar{j}]$ '
$\llbracket x, \gamma \rrbracket^{29} \quad[x, \gamma]$ prevelar ' $\left[\underset{\sim}{x}, \frac{\downarrow}{\gamma}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{\gamma}]^{30} \quad$ velar ' ${ }^{[\mathrm{x}, \gamma] \text { ’ }}(=, \equiv)$
$[\hat{x}, \hat{\gamma}]^{31} \quad$ velar rounded (or $[\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z}], \mathrm{cf} \mathbb{9} 9.14$ ) ' $\left[\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{w}}, \gamma^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$


$[\chi, \text { к }]^{34} \quad$ uvular ' $[\chi, \text { к }]^{3}$ ' ( $=$ )
$[\hat{X}, \hat{\mathbf{E}}]^{35}$ uvular rounded ' $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{q}]^{36}$ pharyngealized uvular ' $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{E}}, \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{E}}\right]$ '
$[\hat{Y}, \hat{\nmid}]^{37} \quad$ pharyngealized uvular rounded＇$\left[\chi^{\text {fw }}, \mathrm{E}^{\text {fw }}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{G}]^{38}$ prepharyngeal（＝between the upper pharynx and root of the tongue）＇$[\hbar, \mathrm{G}]$＇（ $\neq$ ）
$[\hbar, \hbar]^{39} \quad$ pharyngeal（＝betw．the lower phar．and the root of the t ．，＇epiglottal＇）＇$[\mathrm{H}, \varsigma]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[\hbar, \ldots]^{40} \quad$ pharyngeal rounded（＇epiglottal＇rounded）＇$\left[\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{w}}, \varsigma^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇．
fig 10．5．1．Constrictive orograms（40）．


10．5．2．Laryngeal constrictives［H］\＆semi－constrictives［ ${ }^{H}$ ］（or＇glottal＇，（in In－
 ＇Iıクg＇t，læ－］， 12 －cf § 10.13 ，too）．
$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f} \rrbracket^{41-42}[\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~h}]$ laryngeal（＝between vocal folds，including aryten．cartil．）＇$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}]$＇
$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{G}]^{43.44}[\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~h}]$ laryngeal rounded（with lip rounding）＇$\left[\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇

$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}]^{47-48}[\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~h}]$ laryngeal（semiconstrictive，with less energy and expirat．air）＇$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}, \underset{\mathrm{T}}{ }]$
$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f} \rrbracket^{49-50} \quad[\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~h}]$ laryngeal rounded（semiconstrictive）＇$\left[\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}, \widehat{\kappa}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\mathrm{w}}$＇．
$\llbracket \mathrm{h}_{3}, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{g}} \rrbracket^{51-52}[\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~h}]$ palatalized laryngeal（semiconstrictive）‘ $\left[\mathrm{h干}^{\mathrm{j}}\right.$ ， $\left.\mathrm{h干}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ ’
fig 10.5.2. Constrictive ( ${ }^{41-46}$ ) and semi-constrictive ( ${ }^{(47-52)}$ laryngograms (12).

10.5.3. Grooved constrictives [S] (41).

$[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]^{54}$ dental (with a lowered tip) ' $[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{c}$ '
$[\hat{s}, \hat{z}]^{55}$ dental rounded (with a lowered tip) ' $\left[\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]^{56}$ labiodentalized dental (with a lowered tip) ' $\left[\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]^{\prime}$
$\llbracket s, z \rrbracket]^{57} \quad[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{z}]$ denti-alveolar (with a raised tip) ' $[\underline{s}, \mathrm{z}]$ '
$\llbracket \hat{s}, \hat{\mathrm{z}} \rrbracket^{58} \quad[\mathrm{~s}, \hat{\mathrm{z}}]$ denti-alveolar rounded (with a raised tip) ' $\left[\underline{s}^{\mathrm{w}}, \underline{\underline{z}}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket s, z \rrbracket^{59} \quad[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{z}]$ labiodentalized denti-alveolar (with a raised tip) '[s.s. $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{v}}, \underline{z}^{\mathrm{v}}\right]$ ’
$\llbracket s, z \rrbracket^{60}[s, z]$ uvularized dental, or denti-alv. (with a raised tip, or more rarely lowered) '[ss
$\llbracket s, z]^{61}[s, z]$ labiodento-uvularized dental (with a raised tip), or ...denti-alveolar ' $\left[\underline{S}_{-}^{8 v}, z_{-}^{8 v}\right]$ '
$[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]^{62}$ alveolar: (apico-) '[s, z]'sz
$[\hat{\beta}, \hat{z}]^{63}$ alveolar rounded: (apico-)... ${ }^{[ }\left[s^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$

$[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]^{65}$ velarized alveolar: (apico-)... ‘[s $\left.\mathrm{s}^{\gamma}, \mathrm{z}^{\gamma}\right]$ ’



$[s, z]^{69}$ postalveolar: (apico-)... (not laminal) ' $[s, ~ z]^{\prime}$ ( $=$ )
$\left[\hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{z}^{7}\right]^{70} \quad$ postalveolar rounded: (apico-)... ‘ $\left[s^{w}, z^{w}\right]^{\prime}$
$\left[\varepsilon, q_{0}\right]^{71}$ velarized postalveolar: (apico-)... '[ $\left[\varepsilon^{\gamma}, z_{l}^{\gamma}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\hat{\S}, \hat{q}]^{72} \quad$ velarized postalveolar rounded: (apico-)... '[ $\left.\varepsilon^{\gamma w}, z^{\gamma w}\right]$ '






$\left[\int, 3\right]^{79} \quad$ postalveo-palatal protruded: (lamino-)... ' $\left[\int_{a}^{\mathrm{w}}, 3_{a}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$\left[\int, \hat{3}\right]^{80} \quad$ postalveo-palatal over-rounded: (lamino-)... ' $\left[\int_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}, 3_{0_{o}}^{w}\right]$ '
$\left.\llbracket \mathbb{L}, z_{1}\right]^{81} \quad[d, z]$ postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... (with raised tip) ' $\left[\int_{0}^{\mathrm{j}}, 3_{0}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket f, 3 \rrbracket^{82} \quad\left[\int, 3\right]$ postalveo-palatal protruded ${ }^{\prime}\left[\int_{-}^{w}, 3_{-}^{w}\right]$ '


$[9, \pi]^{85}$ postalveo-prevelar: (lamino-)... $\left[\int_{9_{+}}^{\gamma w}, 3_{g_{+}}^{\gamma w}\right]$ '

$\left[f, z^{87}\right.$ postalveo-velar: (lamino-)... $\left.{ }^{〔}\left[\int_{-}^{\gamma},\right\}_{-}^{\gamma}\right]$ ]
$[f, 5]^{88}$ postalveo-velar protruded: (lamino-)... $\left[\int_{-a}^{\gamma w}, 3_{-}^{\gamma w}\right]$ '
$[f, \hat{\jmath}]^{89}$ postalveo-velar over-rounded ' $\left[\int_{0}^{\gamma w}, 3_{\underline{e}}^{\gamma w},\right]$

$\left[\epsilon, z_{3}\right]^{91}$ bilabialized pre-palatal: (lamino-... with vertical labialization)... ' $\left[\operatorname{san}^{\mathrm{j} \beta}, \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{j} \beta}\right]$ '

$[\xi, \text { z }]^{93}$ pro-palatal ( $=$ between prepalatal and palatal) ' $\left[\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}(\neq)$.
fig 10.5.3. Grooved constrictive orograms (41).

10.5.4. Semi-constrictives [ X ] (18).
$\llbracket \phi, \beta \rrbracket^{93} \quad[\varphi, \beta]$ bilabial ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ${ }^{\prime}[\phi, \beta]$ ' $\llbracket f, v \rrbracket^{94} \quad[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}]$ labiodental ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ' $[\underset{\Phi}{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{v}]$ ' $\llbracket /, \mathrm{v} \rrbracket^{95} \quad[\mathrm{v}]$ labialized labiodental ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approx.) ' $\left[\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
 $\llbracket \theta, \partial \rrbracket^{97} \quad[\theta, \partial]$ dental ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ${ }^{\prime}[\theta, \partial]$ '

 $[/, ~ 6]^{100}$ lateralized palatal (with slight friction noise) ' $[\mathbb{K}]^{\prime}$

$\llbracket /$, $\left\lfloor\rrbracket^{102}\right.$ palatal rounded ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ' $\left[j^{+}{ }^{\top}\right]$ '


$\llbracket \mathrm{H}, \gamma \rrbracket^{105} \quad[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{\gamma}]$ velar ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ' $[\underset{\sim}{x}, \underset{\gamma}{\gamma}]$ '
$\llbracket \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{y} \rrbracket^{106}$ velar rounded ( $=$ interm. between constrictive and approximant) ' $\left[\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{w}} \text {, } \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$


$\llbracket \mathrm{H}, / \rrbracket^{109} \quad[\mathrm{H}]$ prepharyngeal ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ${ }^{〔}[\mathrm{~h}]$ ’
$\llbracket \mathrm{F}, / \rrbracket^{110} \quad[\hbar]$ pharyngeal ( $=$ intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ' $[\mathrm{H}]$ '

fig 10.5.5. Grooved semi-constrictive orograms (10).

10.5.5. Grooved semi-constrictives $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$(10).

$\llbracket s, z \rrbracket^{113} \quad[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{z}]$ dental (= intermediate between constrictive and approximant) ' $\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{s} \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \text {, } \\ \text { n }\end{array}\right]$ '






$\llbracket \varsigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket^{120} \quad$ palatal (almost $[c ̧, j]$ but grooved $\&$ interm. betw. constric. and approx.) ' $\left[\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ '

fig 10.5.4. Semi-constrictive orograms (number ${ }^{100}$ is also lateralized - 19).


Approximants /J/ [J, J, J̃, H, [, [*, [] (108)
10.6. These include six synopses -in addition to those of normal phones- also those of semi-approximants, some nasalized phones (among the various possibilities), laryngeals, and lateralized ones (with structuring differences, which we will see below, as for semi-approximants or semi-... approximants).
10.6.1. (Normal, rather 'static') approximants [J] (in addition to 'dynamic' ones, shown by a double arrow on their orograms, indicating a tiny, but clear, movement of the dorsum). We also indicate semi-approximant articulations, with greater space between the articulators than for approximants and with a definitely more elusive auditory impression [ J$]$. We put them close to real approximants, for useful comparisons, in order to show their tiny differences better. In addition, to be of further help, the semi-approximant orograms bear a broken line on their bottom. In order to adequately show the difference between constrictives, semi--constrictives, approximants and semi-approximants, within the very poor possibilities of offIPA symbols and diacritics, we would be obliged to use combinations of even four same signs, as [ ${ }_{{ }_{\tau}^{\tau}}{ }_{\tau}$ ] - for instance, in order to transcribe our semiapproximant [q], it would be necessary to combine [ $\beta$ ] with ${ }_{{ }_{\mp}^{\top}}^{\top}$ ]. Therefore, we give up fully trying to indicate the difference between all of these four classes of contoids, especially seen that too often offIPA does not coherently distinguish yet even between constrictives and approximants. The same is true for other nuances (such as for places of articulation) that ${ }^{\text {can IPA, instead, can adequately show. }}$

As a matter of fact, we are showing these official monstruosities more to insist on their absurdity, rather than to try to guide readers to their more or less (im)probable interpretation (70).

```
\([\phi, \beta]^{01} \quad\) bilabial (= vertically) ' \([\$, \beta]\) ’
\(\llbracket \phi, q \rrbracket^{02} \quad[\beta]\) semi-bilabial (= bilabial, with very wide narrowing) ' \([\beta]\) ’
\([\Phi, \beta]^{03} \quad\) bilabial rounded ' \(\left[\Phi^{\mathrm{w}}, \beta_{T}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]\) '
\([\Phi, \beta]^{04} \quad\) palatalized bilabial ' \(\left[\Phi^{\mathrm{j}}, \beta_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}\)
\([\Phi, \beta]^{05} \quad\) velarized bilabial ' \(\left[\phi^{\gamma}, \beta_{T} \gamma\right]\) '
\(\llbracket \Phi, \beta \rrbracket^{06}[\Phi, \beta]\) uvularized bilabial ‘[ \(\left.\Phi_{-}^{\gamma},{ }_{-}^{\gamma}{ }_{T}^{\gamma}\right]\) ’
\([\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{v}]^{07}\) labiodental ' \([\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}]^{07}(\neq,=)\)
\([/, 0]^{08}\) semi-labiodental ' \([\mathrm{u}]\) '
\([\hat{F}, \hat{0}]^{09}\) labiodental rounded ' \(\left[f{ }_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{w}, v^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}\)
\([/, 0]^{10} \quad\) semi-labiodental rounded ' \(\left[\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]\) '
\([\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{v}]^{11} \quad\) palatalized labiodental ' [ \(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}\), \(\left.\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]\) '
```



```
\(\llbracket /, \forall \rrbracket^{13}[\forall]\) semi-uvularized labiodental ' \(\left[\begin{array}{|c}\gamma \\ \hline\end{array}\right]\) '
```






```
\([/, ~ \imath]^{18} \quad\) semi-postalveolar: (apico-)... (not laminal) '[低]’
```






$[/, j]^{24} \llbracket \mathfrak{j} \rrbracket$ uvularized palatal dynamic ' $\left[\varphi_{-}^{\forall}\right]$ '
fig 10.6.1. First approximant \& semi-approximant orograms (24).

10.6.2. Dorsal approximants corresponding to some vocoids.

$[/, j]^{26} \quad$ palatal dynamic '[ $\left.j\right]^{\prime}$ (=)

$\llbracket /, \mathrm{J} \rrbracket^{28} \quad[\mathrm{j}]$ semi-palatal dynamic (with very wide narrowing) ‘[j] ${ }^{[1}$
$[\mathrm{hy} \mathrm{fy}]^{29}$ palatal rounded ' $[\mathrm{hy}, \underline{\mathrm{hy}}]^{\prime}$ ' $(\neq)$
$[/, \mathrm{y}]^{30} \quad$ palatal rounded dynamic ' $[\mathrm{Y}]$ '

$[/, ~ ч]^{32} \quad$ semi-palatal rounded dynamic ' $[\Psi]$ '
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{G}]^{33} \quad$ postpalatal ' $[\mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{fij}]$ ]
$[/, ~ i]^{34} \quad$ postpalatal dynamic $\left.{ }^{\text {‘ }} \overline{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}$ ’
[h, $\mathfrak{h}]^{35}$ semi-postpalatal ' $\left[\mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{j}}\right.$, $\mathrm{hij}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ]'
$[/, \overline{7}]^{36}$ semi-postpalatal dynamic $\left.{ }^{[ } \bar{j}\right]$ '
$\left[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{u}}\right]^{37} \quad$ postpalatal rounded ' [h$\left.\overline{\underline{u}}, \mathrm{f} \overline{\mathrm{H}}\right]$ '
$[/, ~ ч]^{38} \quad$ postpalatal rounded dynamic ' $[\bar{\varphi}]$ '
$\left[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]^{39} \quad[\mathrm{\Psi}]$ semi-postpalatal rounded (with very wide narrowing) ' $[\mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{u}}]$ ]
$[/, ~ ч \rrbracket]^{40} \quad[ч]$ semi-postpalatal rounded dynamic (with very wide narrowing) ' $[\bar{\tau}]$ '

$[/, \dot{j}]^{42} \quad$ prevelar dynamic ${ }^{〔}[\bar{j}]$ or $[\ddot{\psi}]$ ]


$\left[\mathrm{h}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]^{45}$ prevelar rounded ' $[\mathrm{h} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}, \mathrm{f} \overline{\mathrm{u}}]$ '
$[/, ч]^{46} \quad$ prevelar rounded dynamic ' $[\bar{\varphi}]$ ’



$[/, \mathrm{u}]^{50} \quad$ provelar dynamic (= between prevelar and velar) ‘[ $\left.\mathrm{\Psi}\right]$ ]
$\llbracket h, \mathfrak{〔} \rrbracket^{51} \quad[\underline{\Psi}]$ semi-provelar (with very wide narrowing) '[hiư , Giuqu]'
$\mathbb{K}, \mathrm{u} \rrbracket^{52} \quad[\mathrm{u}]$ semi-provelar dynamic (with very wide narrowing) '[ $\left.\underset{\sim}{\Psi}\right]$ ]'

$[/, \mathrm{w}]^{54} \quad$ provelar rounded dynamic ‘[w]’

fig 10.6.2. Dorsal approximant \& semi-approximant orograms (including dynamic ones - 43).

$\mathbb{K} /, \longleftarrow \rrbracket^{56} \quad[\underline{\Psi}]$ semi-provelar rounded dynamic (with very wide narrowing) ' $[\underset{\Psi}{\Psi}]$ ]
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathfrak{〔}]^{57}$ velar '[huw, fiuq]' ( $\neq$ )

$\left[h,\lceil ]^{59}\right.$ semi-velar ‘[huq, fiuy]' $\neq$ )
$[/, \mathrm{u}]^{600} \quad$ semi-velar dynamic ' $\left.[\mathrm{u}]\right]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f}]^{61} \quad$ velar rounded ' $[\mathrm{hm}, \underline{\mathrm{hw}}]^{\prime}$ ' $(\neq)$
$[/, \mathrm{w}]^{62} \quad$ velar rounded dynamic ' $[\mathrm{w}]^{\prime}(=)$
$\llbracket \mathrm{hs}, \mathrm{fu} \rrbracket^{63} \quad[\mathrm{w}]$ semi-velar rounded (with very wide narrowing for both points) '[hwi, hiw
$\llbracket /, w \rrbracket^{64} \quad[\mathrm{w}]$ semi-velar rounded dynamic (with very wide narrowing for both points) '[ $[\mathrm{w}]$ '
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f}\}]^{65} \llbracket \mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{f} \rrbracket$ uvularized velar rounded ' $\left[\hat{h} \underset{-}{\gamma}, \mathrm{hw}_{\underline{\gamma}}^{\gamma}\right]$ '
$\left.[/, w]^{66} \llbracket w\right]$ uvularized velar rounded dynamic ' $[\mathrm{w}$

10.6.3. Back approximants.

$[x, y]^{69}$ uvular ' $[\mathcal{X}, \underset{\sim}{\text { r }}]$ '






fig 10.6.3. Back approximant \& semi-approximant orograms (8).

10.6.4. Nasalized approximants [J̃] (4). The difference between these and semi--nasals (in $\$ 10.2 .3$ ) lies in the fact that these are normal contoids, with the addition of a lowered velum, whereas the others are nasals with an incomplete contact. In fact, in fig 10.6.1, we have indicated double arrows as well (as in fig 5.1), to show the exact combination of the two articulation manners - by insisting and slightly exaggerating. (The same happens to other contoids -as constrictives, taps, or lat-erals- with added nasalization.)
$[/, ~ j]^{76} \quad$ palatal dynamic (with a lowered velum) '[ij]'
$[/, \tilde{j}]^{77} \quad$ semi-palatal dynamic (with a lowered velum) ' $‘$ [ָ̃] $]$
fig 10.6.4. Nasalized approximant \& semiapproximant orograms (4).

$[/, \tilde{\mathrm{w}}]^{78} \quad$ velar rounded dynamic（with a lowered velum）＇$[\tilde{\mathrm{w}}]$＇
$[/, \tilde{w}]^{79} \quad$ semi－velar rounded dynamic（with a lowered velum）＇［ $\left.\tilde{w}\right]^{7}$＇．
10．6．5．1．Lenited laryngeal approximants［H］（10，including semi－approxi－ mants，which are laxer，using less expiratory air．Others are possible）．
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}]^{80}$ laryngeal（＝between the vocal folds，including the arytenoid cartilages）＇$[\mathrm{h}, ~ \underset{\tau}{\mathrm{~K}}]$＇
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}]^{81} \quad$ semi－laryngeal（ $=$ between the vocal folds，including the arytenoid cartil．）＇$[\mathrm{T}, ~ \underset{\mathrm{~T}}{ } \mathrm{~h}]$＇
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}]^{82} \quad$ laryngeal rounded（ $=$ with lip rounding）＇$\left[\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}]^{83} \quad$ semi－laryngeal rounded（ $=$ with lip rounding）＇$\left[\mathrm{hT}^{\mathrm{w}}, \frac{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}}{}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}\right.$＇

$\left[h, \varliminf_{3}\right]^{85}$ palatalized semi－laryngeal（＝betw．the vocal folds，including aryten．cartil．）＇［hij ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ ， $\left.\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}\right]$＇
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f}]^{86} \quad$ velarized laryngeal（with velarization）＇$[\mathrm{h} \gamma \mathrm{h}]$＇
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f}]^{87} \quad$ velarized semi－laryngeal（with velarization）＇$[\mathrm{h} \gamma>]^{\prime}$
$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{G}]^{88} \quad$ velarized laryngeal rounded（with velarization $\&$ rounding）＇$[\underset{\delta}{\mu}]$＇

fig 10．6．5．1．Lenis voiced \＆voiceless approximant and semi－approximant laryngograms（4）．
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fig 10．6．5．2．Lenis voiced \＆voiceless approximant and semi－approximant laryngograms （with lip rounding，palatalization，velerization，and rounding \＆velarization－6）．


10．6．5．2．Laryngeal approximant with intermediate phonation and some coarticu－ lations，as in $\$ 10.6 \cdot 3.1$（the difference lies in their laryngoid，shown on the right－5）．
$\llbracket \mathrm{K} \rrbracket$ laryngeal（with intermediate phonation）＇$[\mathrm{h}]$ ］
$\llbracket \mathrm{K} \rrbracket$ laryngeal rounded（with intermediate phonation）＇$\left[\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇
【§】 palatalized laryngeal（with intermediate phonation）＇［hูj］＇
$\llbracket \mathfrak{f} \rrbracket \quad$ velarized laryngeal（with intermediate phonation）＇［ȟ้］＇


【角】 velarized laryngeal rounded（with intermediate phonation）＇$[\underset{\delta}{\mathrm{s}}]$ ’．
10.6.6. Lateralized apico-laminal approximants, with other coarticulations [I] (some with lip-rounding, as well-9). Let us make it clear that lateralized does not coincide with lateral (nor with semi-lateral). As the first four linguograms in fig 10.9.9 (that we reproduce here, as fig 10.6.6.1) show, the mechanism is partially different: for (bi)lateral (and semi(bi)lateral) articulations, the lower parts of the sides of the tongue are completely moved away from the side teeth. The difference between them is that (full) laterals have a contact with the upper part of the mouth roof, while semi-laterals do not have it.

Lateralized phones, on the other hand, lack such a consistent space on both sides of the tongue. They have just a smaller space, which normal approximants lack. In addition, to be of greater help, the orograms of (semi)lateral have an arrow (bigger for full laterals), while added lateralization is shown by a simple head of an arrow (which, of course, is not present on normal approximants).
fig 10.6.6.1. Linguograms of lateral, semi-lateral, and lateralized articulations in comparison with normal approximant ones.

1

I
lateraliz. approx.

I
approximant

Z
$\mathbb{K}, \stackrel{\varphi}{ }]^{90}$ labiodentalized postalveolar slightly rounded (with lateral contraction) '[ $\left[\underline{\mathrm{Ur}}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '
$\llbracket /, \varrho \rrbracket^{11}$ dental, or lamino-dental (= with a lowered tip and with lateral contraction) '[ $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I }\end{array}\right]$ ’
$\llbracket /, \pm \rrbracket^{92}$ alveolar (with lateral contraction) ' $[\mathrm{r}]$ ’
$\llbracket /, \pm]^{93} \quad$ velarized alveolar (with lateral contraction) ' $\left[\mathbb{r}_{6} 8\right]$ '

$\llbracket /, \tau]^{95} \quad$ postalveolar: (apico-)... (with lateral contraction) '[ $[\mathrm{r}]$ ’
$[/, ~-1]^{96}$ postalveolar slightly rounded: (apico-)... (with lateral contraction) ' $\left[\underline{x}^{w}\right]$ '
$\llbracket /, \ddagger \rrbracket^{97} \quad$ velarized postalveolar: (apico-)... (with lateral contraction) ' $\left[\underline{-}^{\gamma}\right]$ ’
$\llbracket /, ~ \mp \rrbracket^{98} \quad$ velarized postalveolar slightly rounded: (apico-)... (with lateral contract.) '[r-rw]'.
fig 10.6.6.2. Lamino-lateralized approximant orograms (9).

10.6.7. Lateralized approximants and semi-approximants (with postaveolar and labial coarticulations; the last one without the latter) [I*, I] (5).
$[/, x]^{99} \quad$ postalveolarized prevelar slightly rounded (with lateral contraction) '[ $\left[\underset{\left.\Psi T-\underline{I}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]}{ }\right]$
$\llbracket / \mathrm{x} \rrbracket^{100} \quad[\mathrm{I}]$ postalveolarized semi-prevelar slightly rounded (with lateral contraction - but

 $\llbracket /$, £ $]^{102}[₹][\ddagger]$ uvulo-postalveolarized semi-velar slightly rounded (with lateral contraction but with very wide narrowing) '[પ్TTI
$\left[/, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]^{103}$ semi-provelar (with no labialization) '[u] ]'
fig 10.6.6.3. Dorso-lateralized approximant and semi-approximant orograms (with slight postalveolarization - 5).


## Trills，taps \＆flaps／R／［R，Я，Я，R，Я］（40）

10．7．These include three synopses for trills，taps，flaps；and two more，for con－ strictive trills and taps；finally，we find lateralized taps and flaps．The synopses of tapped laterals are with those of laterals．Our orograms clearly show that taps and flaps are two very different contoid categories．

## 10．7．1．Trills［R］（11）．

$[(\mathrm{P}), \mathrm{B}]^{01} \quad$ bilabial ${ }^{〔}[\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~B}]^{\prime}(\neq,=)$
$([(\mathrm{r}), \mathrm{r}])^{02}$ dental（with raised tip）＇$[\mathrm{r} \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}]$ ’
$[(\mathrm{r}), \mathrm{r}]^{03}$ alveolar（apical）＇$\left[\begin{array}{rl}\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r} \\ \mathrm{r}\end{array}\right]$ ’
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{r}}]^{04} \quad$ alveolar rounded＇$\left[\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇
$[/, ~ ז]^{05} \quad$ velarized alveolar＇$\left.{ }^{[ } \mathrm{r}^{8}\right]^{\prime}$

$[(\mathfrak{c}), \mathrm{r}]^{07}$ postalveolar：（apico－）．．．（not laminal）＇［ $[\mathrm{i}, \underline{r}]^{\circ}(\neq)$
$[/, ~ ¢]^{08} \quad$ apico－palatal ‘［โt］’ $(\neq)$

$\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right), \mathrm{R}\right]^{10}$ uvular $\left.{ }^{\text {［ }}{ }_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right]^{\prime}(\neq,=)$
$\left[\left(\hat{R_{0}}\right), \hat{R}\right]^{11} \quad$ uvular rounded＇$\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$ ．
fig 10．7．1．Trill orograms（11）．


10．7．2．Taps［Я］（11）．
$[/, \mathrm{B}]^{12} \quad$ bilabial $\left.{ }^{\text {‘ }[\breve{B} / \mathrm{w}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \mathrm{v}]^{13} \quad$ labiodental＇$[\mathrm{v}]^{\prime}$（ $=$ ）
$([(\rho), r])^{14} \quad$ dental（with raised tip）＇$\left[\begin{array}{r}\AA \\ \\ \text { ，} \\ \Gamma\end{array}\right]$ ’
$[(\mathrm{f}), \mathrm{r}]^{15} \quad$ alveolar（with raised tip）＇$[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{f} \text { ，}]^{\prime}$
$[/, \hat{\mathrm{c}}]^{16} \quad$ alveolar rounded＇$\left[\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$＇
$[(f), f]^{17} \quad$ velarized alveolar＇$\left[⿷^{\circ} \gamma, ⿷_{s}^{\gamma}\right]$ ］

$[(¢),]^{19}$ postalveolar：（apico－）．．．（not laminal）‘［ $[\mathfrak{i}, \mathrm{f}]$ ’
$\left[/, \kappa^{20} \quad\right.$ apico－palatal（palatal and apical，not laminal）＇［ $[\mathrm{p}]$ ’

$\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{O}}\right), \mathrm{R}\right]^{22} \quad$ uvular $\left.{ }^{[ }{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{r}}, \breve{\mathrm{R}}\right]$ ’．
fig 10.7.2. Tap orograms (11).

10.7.3. Flaps [Y] (6).
$[/, 0]^{23}$ labiodental (= between the lower lip and the upper teeth) ' $[\overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}]$ '



$[/, ~,]^{27}$ postalveolar: (apico-)... '[ $[\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}]$ '

fig 10.7.3. Flap orograms (6).

10.7.4. Constrictive trills [R] (6).
$[2,5]^{29}$ alveolar (with raised tip and narrowing which produces friction noise) ‘[ $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { t. } \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{t}\end{array}\right]$ ’
$\llbracket \varepsilon, 8]^{30} \quad[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{\gamma}]$ velar (with friction noise) ‘[ $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { 部, 表 } \\ \mathrm{R}\end{array}\right]$ ’




fig 10.7.4. Constrictive trill orograms (6)

10.7.5. Constrictive taps [R*] (3).
$[2,5]^{35} \quad$ alveolar (with raised tip and narrowing which produces friction noise) ' $[\underset{ \pm}{\circ}, \underset{ \pm}{f}]^{\prime}$


fig 10.7.5. Constrictive tap orograms (3).

10.7.6. Lateralized taps \& flaps [Я] (3).



fig 10.7.6. Lateralized orograms: tap and flap.


## Laterals /L/ [L, L, £, I, L] (67)

10.8. These include five synopses for (bi)laterals, unilaterals, constrictive laterals, lateral taps; semi-laterals; finally, the symbol for a diaphone is added, [ H ] (which can be called either 'lateralized tap' or 'tapped lateral', for oscillations between $[r, ~[, 1,1]$ ).
10.8.1. Laterals [L] (29).
$[/, \mathrm{d}]^{01}$ labial-apical (= between the upper lip and the tip of the tongue) '[1]’
$\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{I} \rrbracket^{02} \quad[1]$ dental, or predental (with raised tip) ' $[1]$ '
$\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{I}^{[1]} \quad[1]$ dental rounded ' $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}\right]$ '


$\mathbb{K}, 1 \rrbracket^{06} \quad[1]$ denti-alveolar ( $=$ intermediate between the teeth and the alveoli) ' $[1]$ '
$[(1), 1]^{07} \quad$ alveolar (= between the alveoli and the tip of the tongue) ' $[1,1]$ '
$[/, 1]^{08}$
$[(\not), \not)]^{09}$
alveolar rounded ' []$\left.^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '

$[/, \not,]^{10} \quad$ velarized alveolar rounded ' $\left[\left[^{\mathrm{rw}}\right]\right.$ or $\left[\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '


fig 10.8.1. (Bi)lateral orograms (29).



$\left[(१),[]^{15}\right.$ postalveolar: (apico-)... (not laminal) ‘[l, l]’ ( $\neq=$ )
$\left[/,[]^{16} \quad \text { postalveolar rounded: (apico-)... ‘[ }\left[{ }^{w}\right]\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \downarrow]^{17} \quad$ velarized postalveolar ' ${ }^{[ }[\gamma]$ '
$\left[(\mathrm{l}), l_{1}\right]^{18} \quad$ apico-palatal (= between the palate and the tip) ' $[\underline{[ }, \underline{l}]$ ’
$\left[/,[]^{19} \quad\right.$ apico-palatal rounded ' $[\underline{\text { w }}$ w]
$\llbracket[!]^{20} \quad[!]$ postalveo-palatal: (lamino-)... ‘[10]’
$\left[\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \underline{1}\right]^{21}$ pre-palatal: (lamino-)... ‘[ $\left[\frac{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{j}}, 1 \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{j}}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, 1]^{22}$ pro-palatal ( $=$ between prepalatal and palatal) ' $[\widehat{C}]^{\prime}(\neq)$
$[(\mathcal{R}), K]^{23}$ palatal ‘ $\left[\mathrm{\delta}_{\circ}, K\right]^{\prime}(\neq,=)$
$[/, 1]^{24} \quad$ prevelar ' $[\mathrm{K}]$ '
$[/, 1]^{25} \quad$ velar (= betw. the velum and the back of tongue; not 'velarized [alveol.]') '[L]' ( $\ddagger$ )
$[/, L]^{26} \quad$ velar rounded ' $\left[L^{w}\right]$ '
$[/, ~ Ł]^{27} \quad$ velar-alveolar '[Ll] '
$[/, \mathrm{E}]^{28} \quad$ preuvular $\left.{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{L}\right]^{\prime}$
$[/, \mathrm{L}]^{29}$ uvular '[L] ${ }^{29}$ '.

### 10.8.2. Unilaterals [L] (9).

$\mathbb{K}, \lambda \rrbracket^{30} \quad[1]$ dental: (lamino-)... (air passing only, or mostly, around one side of the tongue) ' $[1]$ ’
$\llbracket(\lambda), \lambda \rrbracket^{31} \quad[1]$ alveolar ${ }^{\text {‘ }}[1,1]$ ’
$\llbracket /, X]^{32} \quad$ prevelarized alveolar ${ }^{[ }\left[\begin{array}{l}\square \\ \dagger\end{array}\right]$

$\llbracket /, ~ \AA \rrbracket^{34} \quad[\mathrm{l}]$ velarized alveolar ${ }^{〔}[18]$ '


$\mathbb{L}, \lambda, \lambda]^{37} \quad[1]$ prepalatal: (lamino-)... ‘[ [ $[\mathrm{j}]$ ’
$\llbracket /, \lambda_{3} \rrbracket^{38} \quad[K]$ palatal: (lamino-)... '[K $\left.{ }_{N}\right]^{\prime}$.
fig 10.8.2. Unilateral orograms (9).

10.8.3. Constrictive (uni)laterals [ E ] (11).

$\left[\{, 7]^{40} \quad\right.$ alveolar (with friction noise) ' $[4,1,5]$ '
$[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{b}]^{41} \quad$ alveolar rounded (with friction noise) ' $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}, \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$ '


$[\notin, \downarrow]^{44} \quad$ postalveolar: (apico-)... (not laminal - with friction noise) ' $[\underline{2}, \underline{3}]$ ’


$[K, K]^{47} \quad$ palatal (with friction noise) ' $[\underline{1} K, \vec{J} K]$ or $[K, K]$ '


fig 10.8.3. Constrictive (uni)lateral orograms (11).

10.8.4. Tapped laterals [I] (3).

```
[/, 1] 50 alveolar `[I]' (\equiv)
[/, . [] 51 postalveolar: (apico-)... (not laminal) '[I] '
[/, d, l] 52 apico-palatal (= between the [hard] palate and the tip) '[İI]'.
```

fig 10.8.4. Tapped lateral orograms (3).

10.8.5. Semilaterals (or lateralized approximants) [L] (15).
$\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{I} \rrbracket^{53} \quad[1]$ alveolar (with lateral contraction) ${ }^{[ }[\mathrm{l}]$ ’






$\mathbb{L}, 1]^{60} \quad[l]$ postalveolar (with lateral contraction) ' $[$ l $]$ ’

$\mathbb{K}, ~\left\lceil\rrbracket \rrbracket^{62} \quad[K]\right.$ palatal (with lateral contraction) ' $[\mathbb{\Gamma}]$ '
$\llbracket /, \mp \rrbracket^{63} \quad[\mathrm{l}]$ prevelar (with lateral contraction) '[䒾]’
$\mathbb{L}\rceil,]^{64} \quad[\mathrm{l}]$ velar (with lateral contraction) ' $[\mathrm{r}]$ ’

$\mathbb{K} / \mathrm{T}]^{66} \quad[\mathrm{r}]$ uvular (with lateral contraction) ' $[\mathrm{r}]$ ’

fig 10.8.5. Semi-laterals, or lateralized approximants (15).

10.8.6. As already pre-empted in $\$ 10.8$, we also give the 'diaphone' [1] (ie either a 'lateralized tap' or a 'tapped lateral' (or something else), for possible oscillations between $[r,\lceil ]$ and $[1,1]$ ). Of course, our symbol is the combination of [ $r$ ] and [l]. As a matter of fact, it is no easy task to try to show it with a suitable orogram, given its particular nature. We leave the task of devising possible offIPA 'transcriptions' to the imagination of careful readers.


## Appendix

## Intermediate contoids: semi-grooved

10.9.1. Semi-grooved constrictives \& stop-strictives can also occur. There follows an illustration showing the difference between un-grooved, semi-grooved and grooved dental constrictive contoids, as a necessary exemplification.
fig 10.9.1. Comparison between plain (un-grooved, or 'slit'), semi-grooved, and grooved dental contoids.


Now, for comparison, we show the orograms of grooved and semi-grooved dental, denti-alviolar, alveolar and postalveolar constrictives.
fig 10.9.2. Some grooved and semi-grooved constrictive orograms.


Here, we just show the two most frequent semi-grooved stop-strictives and their correspondent constrictives (but of course, others are possible).

In certain societies, semigrooved contoids are often (though not always) associated with gay-male lisp.
fig 10.9.3. Some semi-grooved constrictive and stop-strictive orograms.


## Comparisons between similar contoids

10．9．2．For nasals，to closely examine their many articulatory possibilities，we can consider the position of German，as it emerges mainly from $\mathbb{\$}$ 5．2．1－7 of HPr ． We have indicated many coarticulatory combinations，by using both（more）＇nor－ mal ＇and（more）＇special＇symbols．Certainly，this has been done not for the sake of useless pedantry，but rather to fully describe native speakers＇＇spontaneous and automatic＇phonetic reality，in order to allow even non－native speakers to use what natives actually do．In fact，for the 3 nasal phonemes of German，／m，n，y／，we have 6 taxophones，$[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{\eta}, \mathrm{n}]$ ，and some special ones，$\llbracket \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{n} \rrbracket$（if neces－ sary，$\llbracket \mathrm{n} \rrbracket$ ，too）．For the 2 intense（＇syllabic＇）nasals，$/ \mathrm{m}$ ， $\mathrm{n}_{1} /$ ，we have 6 more normal
 necessary，【丘】，too）．They are all given in fig 10．2．1－2（with others）．

10．9．3．For stops，let us carefully observe the characteristics of some（voiceless） phones，belonging to the apical group（predental，dental，denti－alveolar，alveolar， postalveolar，apico－palatal），$[(t), t, t, t, t, t]$ ．We find the last five respectively in： Spanish tú［＇tu］，German Tod［＇thoit］，English tat［＇fhæt］，Hindi taat［＇taat］，and Tamil t tii［＇fii］．The first orogram in fig 10．9．4 adds the predental articulation which in Malayalam opposes $/ \mathrm{t} /[\mathrm{t}]$（and，in traditional pronunciation，also $/ \mathrm{t} /[\mathrm{t}]$ ，which in modern pronunciation merges into $/ \mathrm{t} /[\mathrm{t}]$ ；thus，they can both become $[\mathrm{t}]$ ）： muttu［＇mvtiv］，muttu［＇mvi：v］，mutṭu［＇mvf：v］．Others are possible（fig 10．9．4）．
fig 10．9．4．Comparisons between some（voiceless）stops：prodental，dental，denti－alveolar， alveolar，back－alveolar，postalveolar，back－postalveolar，apico－palatal．


10．9．4．For stopstrictives，let us expressly consider some groups，in order to see their nuances well，since too often they are described badly．For simplicity，we will see voiceless phones only．The first three we consider are（prodental，dental，and alveolar）un－grooved contoids，$[t \theta, t \theta$ ；tz］．We find the first two in regional Italian pronunciations from Trentino（in north－eastern Italy），for／ts／：marzo［＇martyo， $-\mathrm{t} \theta \mathrm{o}$ ］（for neutral Italian［＇mar：tso］），and［t2］，in Sicily，for／tr／：tre［＇tze，＇tzea］（this is the＇legendary＇－and＇phonetic－fiction＇－＇cacuminal＇sequence＇tr＇，for［＇tre］）．

It is useful to also consider the grooved triple set，with no lip－rounding nor lip－protrusion－postalveo－palatal with a lowered or raised tip，and prepalatal：［ty］，
 ／＇tfera／．Let us also consider the pair with lip－protrusion：［ t ］］，as in neutral Italian
 ／＇doyt $\} /$. Finally，let us observe［tc］，as well，with vertical labialization（not round－ ing or protrusion），as in Chinese $j \bar{\imath}\left[{ }^{-} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{i}\right] /^{-} \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { c }} \mathrm{i} /$ ．
fig 10．9．5．Comparisons between some（voiceless）stop－strictives．


10．9．5．In addition，it is worthwhile considering the set of eight grooved con－ strictives．For instance，$[s, s, s, s]$ ，can occur respectively in neutral Italian，region－ al Italian（of upper－southern and northern parts），and regional Italian（of other northern parts），as in si［＇si，＇si，＇si，＇si］／＇si／（or of variants of Spanish；while neutral Castilian Spanish uses［s］，neutral American Spanish［s］）．English speakers can ac－ tually use any of these four contoids，but the neutral one is $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ ，although gener－ ally transcribed with［s］：sixty 【＇sıksti】［＇sıksti］／＇sıksti／．
fig 10．9．6．Comparisons between some（voiceless）grooved constrictives：prodental，dental， denti－alveolar，alveolar，back－alveolar，postalveolar，back－postalveolar，apico－palatal．


10．9．6．As far as median approximants are concerned，that is those produced within the phonetic space of vocoids（cffig 8．1），it is very important to also observe
fig 10．9．7．
Comparisons between voiced median approx－ imants（\＆similar near－ by contoids）．

|  |  |  | H゙ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\vdots$ 0 |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}}{\stackrel{0}{\circ}}$ |  |  |  | D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dot{\text { j }}$ | J | \％ |  | $\gamma$ |  |  | \ิ |  | 甘 |  | $\hat{\gamma}$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ | b | $f$ |  | $\gamma$ |  |  | प | ¢ | 4 | 以 | y |  |  |
| J | j | i | $\mathfrak{j}$ | บ | Y |  | Y | U | 4 | 4 | W | W |  | W |
|  | J | I |  | Ч | u |  |  | प | प | \＃ | ๒ | U |  | 凹 |
| $\pm$ | $\dot{\text { I }}$ |  | I |  | T | t |  |  |  |  |  | Î | $\hat{\mathrm{I}}$ |  |
|  | i | ！ | $\dot{1}$ | U | （Ш） |  |  | Y | y | \＃ | $\mu$ | u |  |  |

some realizations with greater or lesser space between the dorsum and the palate, up to constrictive phones, by considering the following areas: (prepalatal,) palatal, postpalatal, prevelar, provelar, velar (and uvularized velar, too). We will present the voiced contoids in a synoptic way (whereas their articulations can be found in previous sections). It is to be noted that the median approximants (and semi--approximants) in this table are dynamic contoids (rather than static ones - cf fig 10.9.14-15). Besides, the high vocoids, that we show below the table, are there to help to connect them with the contoids.
10.9.7. It is important to also consider some alveolar contoids, which can be difficult to distinguish. For the speakers of certain languages (mostly spoken in the Far East, as the various Chinese languages, and Japanese and Korean) they are a severe difficulty, since these differences are not present in the phonemic systems of those languages (cf fig $10.13 \& \$ 9.33$ ). Those Spanish accents which (really or presumably) neutralize the patterns $/ \mathrm{rC}, 1 \mathrm{C} /$ can have $[\mathrm{r},\lceil, 1,1]$ (with apical contact, of $\$$ 10.8.6, as well), or [ $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{I}]$ (with no such contact). The difference between the last three phones (and orograms) is fairly small: [z] lacks any lateral contraction, which is present in [r] (as an added feature), and in [ $[$ ] (as a fundamental feature coupled with the lack of any apical contact). It is important to pay careful attention to the size of the arrows, too. The possible alveolar semi-tap, [ $s$ ] is intermediate between $[r]$ and $[z]$, with which it can actually alternate (and might -indeedwork as a diaphone, as well, of $\$ 10.8 .6$ ).
fig 10.9.8. Comparisons between trills, taps, flaps, laterals, approximants (\& combinations).

10.9.8. fig 10.9.9 shows some diagrams of frontal orograms, or linguograms, in order to help to visualize the slight mechanism which contributes to differentiate similar phones. Those in the middle concern the last three phones we have seen; the two on the sides highlight other interesting relations.
fig 10.9.9. Linguograms (or frontal orograms) showing a different perspective.

10.9.9. Here we add some more linguograms to better show the difference between certain (categories of) contoids already dealt with in this chapter. It is very important to accurately connect every linguogram to its articulatory chartacteristics.
fig 10.9.10. Further linguograms showing a different perspective for given contoid classes.

| lateral (approxim.) | semi-lateral (approxim.) | lateralized approximant | unilateral (approxim.) | unilateral semiconstr. | unilateral constrictive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1, $\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{K}$ | I, $\ddagger$ | I, I, ¢ | $\lambda, \lambda$ | 1/1 | 1/4, ¢/K |
| semi- <br> -approximant | approximant | (ungr.) semi--constrictive | (ungrooved) constrictive | grooved semi--constrictive | grooved constrictive |
|  | $\longrightarrow$ | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  | $\int$ |
| J, 玉, e | j, ч, h/up, w | $\theta / \partial ; \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{H} / \gamma$ | $\theta / \partial, ~ c ̧ / d, ~ x / \gamma ~$ | s/z, s/z | s/z, $\mathrm{f} / 3$ |
| grooved stop--semi-(con)strict. | grooved semi -stopstrictive* | grooved stopstrictive | grooved semi--stop-strictive** |  |  |
| $\sigma$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * by prop } \\ & \text { ** by det } \end{aligned}$ | rtion |
| ts/dz, ty/dz |  | $\mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{d} v, \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{dz}, \mathrm{tg} / \mathrm{d}_{3}$ |  |  |
| (ungr.) stop--semi-(con)strict. | (ungr.) semi--stopstrictive* | (ungrooved) stopstrictive | (ungr.) semi--stop-strictive** | semi-stop | stop |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | を/4, ${ }^{\text {kx/gid }}$ | tө/dð, kç/gi | tz/ds, kç/gj | b/b, t/d, k/g | $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{g}$ |

10.9.10. Palatograms are also very important to help to recognize some articulatory peculiarities which can make a real difference between similar phones. Thus, it is fundamental to accurately inspect all palatograms we are presenting here.
fig 10.9.11. Further different palatograms to be compared very attentively.

10.9.11. We also show the palatograms of all principal vocoids, because even comparisons between these two categories of phones can be very illuminating. But, first, let us show them in their vocograms (as in $\Phi 8$ )
fig 10.9.12. Vocograms with canIPA vocoids.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | ! | $\dot{1}$ | 山 | (Ш) |
| I | 1 | ғ | u | (LI) |
| e | 9 | $\partial$ | 8 | (x) |
| E | ¢ | 3 | 8 | (X) |
| $\varepsilon$ | a | e | $\Lambda$ | $\pi$ |
| æ | A | a | a | $\alpha$ |
| - |  | ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ | $3^{3}$ | 4 |


| Y | y | H | $\mu$ | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ч | Y | も | - | U |
| (ø) | $\emptyset$ | $\Theta$ | 0 | 0 |
| (Q) | Q | © | 0 | $\sigma$ |
| (æ) | œ | 20 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| (E) | © | 6 | 2 | D |
| 5 | $6$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \text { ound } \end{array}$ |  | 9 |

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { high (A) } & \\ \text { lower-high (B) }\end{array}\right\}$ CLOSE
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { higher-mid (C) } \\ \text { lower-mid (D) }\end{array}\right\}$ MID
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { higher-low (E) } \\ \text { low (F) }\end{array}\right\}$ OPEN
fig 10.9.13. Palatograms of can IPA vocoids.


$\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y}$

e, e, ø

10.9.12. Here is an expanded version of fig 9.1, which can complete this overview, to continue making useful comparisons between phones and some of their nuances.
fig 10.9.14. Contoid labiograms (and five orograms for the lips again).





$\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{u}$

$\int, z, t d_{z}$

$\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{f}$ d


## ＇Aspiration＇\＆coarticulation

10．9．13．Certainly，it will not be in vain to also reflect upon different possible degrees of＇［ h ］＇in various languages，either as a phoneme，／ $\mathrm{h} /$ ，or as an element of ＇aspiration＇，either phonetic，for $/ \mathrm{C} /$ ，or phonemic，for $/ \mathrm{Ch} /$ ．As a matter of fact，it could be very important to be able to adequately distinguish，not only between （voiced or voiceless）laryngeal approximants，［h， h$]$ ，but also between laryngeal constrictives，$[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f}]$（ $f \$ 10.6 .4 \& 10.5 \cdot 2$ ）．And，in addition to a possible interme－ diate phonation type，［ K ］（ $f \$$ 10．6．4．2），we can also find the corresponding se－ mi－constrictive，$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{f} \rrbracket$（formerly $\llbracket \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{a} \rrbracket$ ），and semi－approximant，$\llbracket \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h} \rrbracket$（former－ ly $\llbracket h, \kappa \rrbracket)$ ，contoids－which are produced by using a lesser amount of air than the respective constrictive or approximant phones．However，the special symbols are not yet in great use．

This reflection can show that the vot theory is a very weak one，since not on－ ly time，but also tension is important in the transitions from a phone to another．

Unfortunately，as we know quite well，offIPA is nothing more than a phonemic alphabet（in spite of its official name：＇International Phonetic Alphabet＇，with some vague and curious definitions，too．It only has two voiceless and voiced＇fricative＇ （meaning approximant）sounds，$/ \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h} /$（beside oldfashioned，or provincial，＇／ M ＇＇ －ie［hw，hw］－mostly for English wh－）．In addition，let us notice that generally offIPA indicates any kind of＇aspiration＇as $/ \mathrm{Ch} /$－and also［Ch］，even for voiced＇as－ piration．

10．9．14．What corresponds to $/ \mathrm{h} /[\mathrm{h}] \& / \mathrm{Ch} /[\mathrm{Ch}]$ ？Is it correct to represent the ＇aspirate＇$/ \mathrm{h} /$ as $[\mathrm{h}]$ ？In fact，the offIPA current representation $-/ \mathrm{h} /[\mathrm{h}]$－is quite phonemic，but generally a satisfactory one，indeed．

The same is true for a general canIPA representation．As a matter of fact，even within canIPA，this is more than sufficient，due to normal automatic coarticula－ tion．Of course，when the effect of coarticulation is stronger，can IPA shows it ade－ quately（taking particular aims into consideration，as well），as we will see below， for more or less important nuances．

It would not be convenient to continuously notate expressly that we have（pre－ velar）$[\mathrm{k}] / \mathrm{k} /$ before front vowels．But－if we want to be realistic－we have to in－ dicate a palatal［c］（or a pospalatal［c］）realization，when it realizes the（velar）$/ \mathrm{k} /$ phoneme，either before front vowels，or at the end of a word，as it happens in neu－ tral French pronunciation：［＇ci，＇mec］qui，mec．Of course，strictly speaking，a tran－ scription like $\llbracket k i \rrbracket$ would not represent a natural／ki／sequence in any real lan－ guage．That is，a true velar stop，$\llbracket \mathrm{k} \rrbracket$ ，would not be possible in any human lan－ guage，in front of a true palatal vocoid like 【i】，because－by assimilation－the ac－ tual articulation of $/ \mathrm{k} /$ necessarily becomes prevelar，［ k ］．In fact，if we actually find something like $\llbracket \mathrm{ki} \rrbracket$ ，the only possible natural phonemic sequence is／ q i ，as in Arabic［qr＇Ja：ni］qīšān̄̄，which would rather be 【kr＇\｛a：ni】．But，again，even in canIPA Natural Phonetics，it is better to simply transcribe［q1］，because assimilation does the rest properly．
fig 10.9.15. Orograms of some unrounded vocoids and their corresponding dynamic \& (more) static approximants \& semi-approximants.


10.9.15. Going back to $/ \mathrm{hV} /$ sequences, we have (using examples for internation-al-English pronunciation): ['hiif] heat, ['hıt] hit, ['hæf] hat, ['hef] hut, ['hot] hot, [huuf] hoot, ['hər.f] hurt. These transcriptions are quite sufficient for any human being. However, they would not be enough for a talking machine, unless a suitable adaptation is used, simply to take account of (natural) assimilation. In fact, to be true, in any $/ \mathrm{hV} /$ sequence, $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is realized as a voiceless (non-intense, or 'non-syllabic', $\llbracket C \rrbracket)$ contoid, perfectly corresponding to the vocoid that follows $/ h /, \llbracket \bigvee ̆ \bigvee \rrbracket$. These are all canIPA conventions that go far beyond poor offIPA.

This distinction between contoids and vocoids is fundamental, so the 'proper'

fig 10.9.16. Orograms of some rounded vocoids and their corresponding dynamic \& (more) static approximants \& semi-approximants.

'ธ̆d 'zor.f $\ddagger$ ). And so on, for any further vocoids (and in any other languages). But it is perfectly clear that a notation like [ h ] is not only sufficient, but also remarkably simpler. In fact, otherwise, we should have further systematic symbols even for /hC/ sequences, like Burmese /hm, hn, hn, hy, hw, hl/ [hm, hn, hn, hy, hw, hl], ie 【mm, hn, hл, ไŋ, huw, 11】.
10.9.16. Furthermore, many languages have phonemic sequences like $/ \mathrm{Ch} /$, or at least phonetic ones, [Ch]. Let us see a few (international-English) examples: ['phliriz] please, ['kh.ıu'u] crue, ['†hwaes] twice, which should be rendered as:
fig 10．9．17．Palatal，postpalatal，prevelar，velar \＆laryngeal possible taxophones（with bilabial rounding，too）．They can belong to the constrictive，semi－constrictive，approximant or semi－ －approximant classes（according to our Natural Phonetics conventions）．


【＇plliriz，kixauu，＇twwaes】（and other more cumbersome combinations）．Thus，it is very clear that the notation with $[\mathrm{h}]$ is the more convenient（and even natural） one：$[\mathrm{hV}, \mathrm{hC}, \mathrm{Ch}]$ ．This is a serious problem only for talking machines，not for hu－ man speakers（and hearers）．

In German，＇aspiration＇is generally stronger than in English，of：［＇phlats］ （【＇pllats】）Platz，as compared with［＇phlæn］【＇pllæn】 plan．This can be indicated －and even more clearly，indeed－while keeping the［h］－notation，as we have just seen．In Danish，an even stronger＇aspiration＇occurs for／＇th／，which shows its strength changing a stop into a stopstrictive：［＇tsh］．

10．9．17．In Mandarine Chinese，＇aspiration＇is distinctive and still somehow stronger．In fact，according to stress，we find，for instance：／ph／［ $\left.\mathrm{ph}, \mathrm{ph}, ~{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{p}\right]$ ；while the＇un－aspirated＇counterpart is：／p／$[\dot{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}]$ ，and so on．As can be seen，we have $/ \mathrm{ph} /[\mathrm{ph}]$（a sequence of a stop and a true constrictive laryngeal contoid），while， in completely unstressed syllables，we find $/ \mathrm{oph} /[\mathrm{p}]$ ，ie a non－aspirated taxophone for an＇aspirated＇phoneme．

Other languages, mostly Indian ones, such as Hindi, can oppose voiceless /Ch/ sequences to voiced ones: /Ch, Ch/. On the other hand, in Mandarin Chinese, the 'aspirate' / $h /$ has three different 'normal' voiceless taxophones: ['1, , $\left.x,{ }_{0} h\right]$ (respectively: uvular semiconstrictive, uvular approximant, and velar approximant). In Korean, both $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ \mathrm{Ch} /$ have $[\mathrm{h}]+/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} /,[\mathrm{h}]+/ \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{w} /,[\mathrm{h}]+/ \mathrm{w} /$. Guarani has tautosyllabic /Vh/ sequences as [ih, uh, uh].
10.9.18. Even without having to invent all possible ('un-diacritical') symbols for the assimilatory taxophones seen above (and their possible extensions), can IPA has a number of phones and symbols to adequately account both for coarticulation assimilation and for gradation tension.

In fact, not only the 'aspirate' $/ \mathrm{h} /$, but also 'aspirated' consonants (such as $/ \mathrm{kh}$, $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{sh} /$ ) can vary, first of all, because of differences in their tension. Thus, any $/ \mathrm{h} /$ (alone or in combinations) can range from true constrictives [ $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}$ ] (and semi--contrictives $[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}]$, formerly shown as [f, f$]$ ) to true approximants [h, h$]$ (and semi-approximants $[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{K}]$ ) - including voicing lenition, with voiced phones (and semi-voiced ones, too).

Besides, in addition to plain laryngeal phones $/ \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h} /$, a number of assimilatory coarticulations can be added to them, especially in correspondence to vocoidal phones. As a matter of fact, such coarticulations are quite peculiar, so that they are easily noticed (sometimes even by laymen). In particular, fig 10.9.15-16 show 20 (and 20 further voiced) approximants (and semi-approximants), corresponding to as many high and higher-mid vocoids (and to their matching dynamic contoids, too). Their points of articulation are: palatal, pospalatal, prevelar, provelar and velar (including bilabial rounding, too).

The 'color' of $/ \mathrm{h} /$, then, depends mostly on the phone that follows it. In the case of $/ \mathrm{VhV} /$, of course, the 'color' can be determined also by the vocoid that precedes $/ \mathrm{h} /$, according to languages and accents. The influence of two vocoids alike, or similar, is necessarily stronger than that caused by very different, or opposit, vocoids. Thus, just showing very general, and extreme sequences, we might consider: [ihi, aha, uhu] or else [iha, ihu, uhi, uha, ahi, ahu].
10.9.19. Frequently, however, this assimilatory strength derives not only from a following vocoid (or a sonant contoid). In fact, also a preceding vocoid can determine their (places of) articulation. fig 10.9 .17 shows further contoidal orograms (including some approximants and semi-approximants already seen in fig 10.9.15-16). They belong to the four classes of (semi-)constrictives and (semi-) approximants, and can be used by several languages, both for $/ \mathrm{hV} /$ and $/ \mathrm{Ch} /$ sequences.

They can also represent the taxophonic realizations of other phonemes, such as Spanish $/ \mathrm{s} /$, mostly in $/ \mathrm{sC} /$ and $/ \mathrm{s}^{\#} /$ sequences (but also, in $/ \mathrm{s}^{\#} \mathrm{~V} /$ sequences; more rarely so for $/ \mathrm{VsV} / /$. For instance, some accents of Spanish can have $/ \mathrm{s} /[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}$, $\mathrm{h}]$, respectively, in sequences of $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{u} /+\mid \mathrm{sC} /$, depending on the preceding vowel; or they can have [ $\phi \mathrm{p}, ⿹ 勹 \mathrm{t}, \mathfrak{\mathrm { k }}$ ], depending on the following consonant, and so on. Sometimes, they are even (unprecisely) represented as ' $/ \mathrm{hC} /$ ' (and defined as 'aspiration', too).
10.9.20. In fig 10.9.15-16 (and related sections) we saw that various [h]-type contoids could be shown as certain unsyllabic (or loose) vocoids: generically $\llbracket \bigvee \rrbracket \rrbracket$. Now, to help to connect phonic things more completely, we will briefly show how given vocoids (using some of the same) could be rendered as intense (or 'syllabic') types of voiced [h]: generically 【โ§], thus gaining a full-voice status, just as normal vocoids

 course, this is just a mere reflection, to deepen the subject, as a useful articulatory and auditory introspection. In fact, nobody would ever suggest using such loose vocoids, or intense contoids, in transcribing current texts.


## A couple of semiconstrictive laterals

10.9.21. As we know, constrictive laterals, like $[4, \nmid]$, can be used even as phonemes, for instance in Zulu and (only the voiceless one) in Welsh. They are also frequently used as a well-known speech defect, for $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} /$ (and, sometimes, for $/ \mathrm{S}, 3 /$, and possible connected stopstrictives). They are generally realized as alveolar and palatal, $[\notin, k],[\mathcal{K}, k]$. Sometimes, the defect is milder, perhaps, in an attempt to solve the problem and avoid the broader realizations, by trying to form the necessary groove on the lamina. In fact, we can hear semiconstrictive contoids: $[1,1]$, $[K, K]$ (cffig 10.9.10-11). For instance, Miss ['mı1], cash ['khæK]; Italian passo ['pal:1o], pesce ['pe\&:Xe]. Of course, their orograms are intermediate between those of [1, 1; $R, K]$ and [ $\downarrow, \nmid \notin, \kappa \in$.


