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## 6. <br> Greek vowels

## The vowels of international Greek

6.1. As fig 6.1.1 shows, we only have five vowels, well distinguished, and with no phonemic length. Their timbres are the same in stressed or unstressed syllables, fig 6.1.1. International Greek vowel vocogram ( $\&$ diphthongs, also monotimbric ones, although rare).

/uu/ [uu]
$/ \sigma \sigma /[\sigma \sigma]$
/aa/ [aa]

/ui/ [ui]

/uc/ [uq]
/ue/ [ue]
/ua/ [ua]
/ei/ [ei]

/ai/ [ai]

/au/ [au]
/aE/ [aE]

/ao/ [ag]
as generally presented in usual 'descriptions' (which are clearly too simplistic). However, for practical communication, in international Greek, it is quite sufficient. fig 6.1.2-4 show their orograms, labiogram, and palatograms.

Let us pay particular attention to the vocalic symbol $[\sigma]$, and to the consonantal grapheme $\langle\sigma\rangle$ that we slightly differentiate, although more often they may be identical $\langle\sigma\rangle$. Back to our vowel symbols, we have:
/i/: $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota\left[s^{\prime} \mathrm{piti}\right], \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \varsigma$ [alirtis], $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \cup ́ \omega$ [ðialii ],

|a/: $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ ['sala], $\kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ [ka'la], $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha$ ['malama],


6.2. All Greek vowels can occur in clusters of two (or three) phonic elements. Of course, they form true diphthongs when they have either the pattern /VV/ ['VV, $\left.{ }_{1} \mathrm{VV}\right]$ or /VV/ [.VV], ie with (primary or secondary) stress on the first element, or with no stress at all.

 ıovvı $\alpha \nu \alpha ́$ [junja'na] (or [i,unia'na], in 'careful' or traditional accents).

Let us notice well that $/ \mathrm{iV} /$ of traditional pronunciation, or of very careful neutral speech, readily becomes $/ \mathrm{jV} /$ (rather than $/ \mathrm{jV} /$ ) in international, or colloquial neutral, or mediatic accents (although extremely oscillating between the two possibilities, for both words and speakers, except, perhaps, for loanwords, even if ancient ones, as Ioú $\lambda \iota o \varsigma\left[i^{\prime} u l i \sigma s\right.$, 'ju`Kos], loúvıos [i'u'unios, 'ju'nos]).

In addition, here are further examples of true diphthongs (cf fig 6.1.1 \& $\$ 6.7$ ):



 ['sous]. And: /uV/: $\alpha x o v ่ \varepsilon \iota ~[a ' k u i], ~ \pi o v ~ \varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta ' ~[p u e p i s t a ' t i], ~ \pi o v ~ \alpha \sigma x \varepsilon i ~[p u a s ' c i ; ~$ pwas-], $\tau$ ov ovó $\mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma$ [tuo'no matos; two-]...

While identical vowels between words (/V $\left.{ }^{\#} \mathrm{~V} /\right)$ usually shorten to a simple [V], let us notice carefully what happens within words: ک'̀o ['zoб], vıxós [ii'kos], rot-
 a classical name. Also notice: tov oupavoú [tura'nu], only in very slow speech, [ttuura'nu]. And here is an example of (true) triphthong: veoع $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota$ ós [neoelini'kos] or [neowelinikos].
6.3. True diphthongs can only have these patterns. In fact, 'rising diphthongs' do not exist, in spite of most 'scientific' production on the subject. Such a glaring error is caused by the fact of thinking about an exclusively phonic matter, always simply considering the same obtrusive spelling (which is not the real language, but only a poor way of fixing it). So, patterns like /VV/ [VV, $\left.V_{1} V\right]$ are simply hiatuses.

Examples: Kopaク̀s [kora'is], Iov́ $\lambda \eta s$ ['ju'lis], vaós [na'os], $\gamma p ı \varepsilon ́ s ~[\chi r i ' e s], ~ \vartheta \varepsilon o i ́ ~$

 [-dio] is a diphthong), couvcavó [junja'na] (or [i,unia'na], already seen). In addition, let us carefully consider words as the following ones, especially colloquially or mediatically, although, generally, in great oscillation between the two types: ovioxl ['wisci, uis-], ovai! ['we, u'E], ová! ['wa, u'a].

Patterns like $/ \mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{jV} /[\mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{jV}]$ (in other languages and loanwords, certainly also /'wV, wV/ and in case /' $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{V} /$, for instance) are simply /CV/ sequences, ie a consonant followed by a vowel in the same syllable: thus, not 'diphthongs' at all.
fig 6.1.2. International Greek vowel orograms.

fig 6.1.3. International Greek vowel labiograms.

fig 6.1.4. International Greek vowel palatograms.


## The vowels of traditional (or katharevousa) Greek

6.4. Comparing fig 6.1.1 and fig 6.2, we clearly see that this inventory is a little less simple than the international one. In fact, we can see that unstressed / $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{a}, \sigma /$, in addition to keeping their basic timbres, can also have closer realizations.

However, such timbres oscillate much, both between speakers and words, as well. Besides, and not at all rarely, such oscillations may include using the timbres of neutral Greek, shown in fig 6.3.

The diphthongs, triphthongs and hiatuses are combinations of the vocoids shown. There is no need to present further vocograms, since those of fig 6.1.1 are a sufficient indication of their structures.
fig 6.2. Traditional Greek vowel vocogram.


## The vowels of neutral (or modern demotic) Greek

6.5. As fig 6.3 shows, the main difference of this accent, in comparison with the other three (including the mediatic one, of $\$ 6.6$ ), consists in the opener timbres of stressed $/ \mathrm{E}, \sigma /[\varepsilon, \supset]$. Accordingly, when they are unstressed, their timbres are $[\mathrm{E}$, $\sigma]$, with the possibility of being closer, ie [ $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}$ ], especially in syllables occurring after the stressed ones. However, oscillations of both $\left[\mathrm{E} \mathrm{E},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e} ;{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \sigma,{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{o}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{1} \varepsilon,{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{J},{ }^{\prime} \sigma\right]$ are not at all rare. Even unstressed /a/ can oscillate between [a] and [e].

In spite of all these possible oscillations, their best occurrences (which we will show in the transcriptions of $(\mathfrak{G 1 1})$ are: $[\mathrm{i}],\left[\varepsilon,{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{E}\right],\left[\mathrm{a},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}\right],[\mathrm{p}, \sigma],[\mathrm{u}]$. However, the second vocogram in fig 6.3 shows frequent possible neutral variants, which can certainly be heard even from good speakers: $[\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}],\left[\sigma,{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{o}\right]$, including [ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{O}}\right]$, $[\mathrm{ov}]$. That vocogram also shows / $\mathrm{Ei} /[\mathrm{ei}]$, which is more frequent and systematic than an expected [Ei].

The other diphthongs, triphthongs and hiatuses are combinations of the vocoids shown, so there is no need to present further vocograms, since those of fig 6.1.1 are a sufficient indication of their actual structures.

Let us, now, have a good look at the last two vocograms, in fig 6.3, ie third and fourth. They show the frequent taxophones occurring in unstressed syllables, in colloquial neutral pronunciation. The third gives the taxophones mainly occurring in pre--stressed syllables (not necessarily immediately before the stressed one): [!, в, e, $\rho, \mu]$.

The fourth vocogram gives the taxophones mainly occurring in post-stressed syllables (again, not necessarily immediately after the stressed one): [ $1, ~ э, ~ 3, ~ 0, ~ ъ]$. Of course, all these may inevitably oscillate between them, including those shown in fig 6.1.1. There is no risk of misunderstanding, although the more 'attenuated' taxophones are more typical of colloquial (neutral) Greek.
fig 6.3. Neutral Greek vowel vocograms.


Further frequent possible neutral variants


Possible variants in prestressed syllables, in fast speech


Possible variants in poststressed syllables, in fast speech


## The vowels of mediatic Greek

6.6. fig 6.4 shows the realizations of the Greek vowels in the mediatic accent. Let us notice their main peculiarities: / $\mathrm{E}, \sigma /$ are generally realized at somewhat different heights, as can be seen. As the second vocogram shows, they can also have possible unstressed variants similarly realized: $[\rho, v]$. In addition, $/ a /\left[a,{ }^{e}\right]$ can frequently become $[\Lambda]$, or else $[A, a]$, when preceded by $/ \mathrm{j} /$ (as shown), or by $/ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}$; ç, $\dot{j} ; \mathrm{j} ; ~ K /$ (including [j] $]$, when it is also followed by one of the same consonants). We can safely indicate palatal consonants generally as /Ç/.
fig 6.4. Mediatic Greek vowel vocograms.


Further frequent possible neutral variants


Typical frequent variants in prestressed syllables, including [V, V, У, V, У, Ø, C., И. $]$


Typical frequent variants in poststressed syllables, including [V, V, V, V, У, Ø, С, И, И_]


Occasionally, we can also find stressed $/ \mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{L}], / \mathrm{u} /[\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{o}], / \mathrm{E} /[\varepsilon]$ and $/ \sigma /[\rho]$. In addition, /VÇ/ sequences can even appear as [Vi, VI, Vi] followed either by true [Ç] consonants, or by prevelar [ $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{\gamma}$ ] (including [ $\left.\mathfrak{n} ; \frac{1}{7}\right]$ 'pro-palatal', or retracted prepalatal).

Often, we even find [Cj] (as many foreigners can do, too). Of course, also in this accent (and even more so, given its nature), oscillations between neutral and traditional realizations are quite common.

The same is true of the diphthongs, triphthongs and hiatuses, which are combinations of the vocoids shown mostly in the first vocogram of fig 6.4. Thus, there is no need to present further vocograms, since those of fig 6.1.1 are a sufficient indication
of their actual structures. Possible different combinations will be duly shown.
The last three vocograms in fig 6.4 show the typical frequent variants in unstressed syllables: prestressed, $[\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{e} ; 3 ; \mathbf{0} ; \mathrm{v}]$, and poststressed, $/ \mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{c} ; \emptyset]$ (notice that [Ø] means а 'zero’ phone), $/ \mathrm{E} /[\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{z}]$, $/ \mathrm{a} /[\mathrm{e} ; 3 ; 2]$ (including $[3 ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{f}]$ ), $/ \sigma /[\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{\omega}]$ (including [ z ; я; $3 ; \mathrm{e}]), / \mathrm{u} /[\square ; \emptyset])$. They behave in a similar way as in the neutral accent, although
fig 6.5.1.1. Orograms of the unrounded vocoids of the three additional accents: traditional, neutral, and mediatic.

fig 6.5.1.2. Orograms of the rounded vocoids of the three additional accents: traditional, neutral, and mediatic (including those of the possible French xenophonemes: $/ \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{q} /[\mathrm{y}, \varnothing, \downarrow]$ ).

in a rather stronger way, and decidedly more frequently.
Let us notice, however, that $[1 ; 3 ; 8 ; 0]$ represent possible phonemic overlappings, in addition to possible droppings of [ $1 ; \omega$ ], becoming [Ø], 'zero', also with intermediate stages, as shortening, and devoicing (generically indicated by '\&c', in the second vocogram). More will be said and shown in $\mathbb{G} 9$.

In addition, fig 6.5.1.1-2 \& fig 6.5.2-3 show the orograms of all vocoids used in the three accents described besides the international one.
fig 6.5.2. Labiograms of the vocoids of the three additional accents.
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fig 6.5.3. Labiograms of the vocoids of the three additional accents.
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## Synoptic presentation of the vowel elements for the four Greek accents

6.7. Only main phones are provided here (others, less important or less systematic ones, can be seen in the corresponding vocograms), as, for instance: лot $\eta t \eta$ 's [pi$\left.i^{\prime} t i s\right], \zeta \omega$ o $[$ 'zoo $]$. Of course, the vocograms can also reveal smaller differences for each accent, as can be easily seen.

We also include possible occasional monotimbric diphthongal /VV/ sequences: /ii, ee, aa, oo, uu/, and variants shown especially in fig $6.3^{n}$ \& fig $6.4^{m}$, for stressed and unstressed syllables.

Besides, $c f \$ 8.10$ for some useful examples. In addition, fig 6.7 shows the possible French xenophonemes.

 '...t, '...]]),
 '...จ, '...モ, '...\&, ل'...] ]),
 '...8, '...8, '...3, $\downarrow$ '...e]),


/ia/ $\left.{ }^{[ } \mathrm{ia}\right], t\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{ia} ; \mathrm{ie}\right], n\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{ia},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{ie}\right], m\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{ia},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{Ia},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{ia},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} \Lambda,{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{Ie},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{ie}\right]$,
$/ \mathrm{i} \mathrm{\sigma} /{ }^{i}[\mathrm{i} \sigma], t \mid n\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} \sigma ; \mathrm{io}\right], m\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{io},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} \sigma,{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{IO},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{IO},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IU},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IO}\right]$,
$/ \mathrm{iu} / \mathrm{i} / t[\mathrm{iu}], n\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{iu},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{iv},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{Iu},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IV}\right], m\left[{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{iu},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{iv},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{a},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{Iu},{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{iu},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IV},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IV},{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{IO}\right] ;$

















## Possible differences between traditional Greek and the other accents

6.8. Mostly in traditional (or 'katharevousa') Greek, words of learned origin (as shown in $\S 8.9$ ), instead of $/ \mathrm{jV} /$ are more often pronounced with $/ \mathrm{iV} /$ : $\sigma \kappa \iota \alpha \zeta \omega$ 'I shade' [ski'a'zo], M $\eta \dot{\prime} \delta \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ['mirðia], in comparison with $\sigma \varkappa \iota \alpha \zeta \omega \omega^{\text {'I }}$ scare' [s'kja'zo] and $\mu u ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ ['mirðja].

Actually, things are rather unstable and fluctuating. In fact, traditional speakers may also produce the other variants, while other speakers may behave the other way up, producing what would seem to be more refined than expected.

This is what a good Greek pronouncing dictionary should show clearly, with all the necessary variants. In 69 , we will deal with some of these phenomena, with changes and reductions of phonemic elements.

## Vocalic sequences and their possible typical reduction

6.9. Let us examine well fig 6.6, which shows some frequent reductions concerning vowel sequences, when there is no complete dropping. For instance, in عival $\alpha \pi$ ó ú $\varphi \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$, in addition to [ineapo'ifazma], we may certainly have [inja'pusifazma], in addition to more colloquial [ina'pifazma] (sometimes even considered broad).
fig 6.6. Possible vowel sequences reductions (from diphthongs, [VV], to [CV] sequences).
/ii/ [j j i]
/ie/ [je]

/iu/ [ju]
/io/ [jo]
/ia/ [ja]
/Ei/ [ji]

/ai/ [ixi]
/aE/ [选]
 /au/ [qu]

$$
\mid \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\sigma} /[\mathrm{t} \sigma]
$$

/aa/ [(f)a]

## Main vowel xenophonemes

6.10. Sometimes, but not necessarily (since they are generally felt to be rather far--fetched), French loanwords may present the xenophonemes '/y, Q/' $[y, Q]$, as in: $\varphi \lambda u \tau$ ['flit, 'flut, $\uparrow$ 'flyt] (flûte ['flyt]), $\mu \pi \lambda \varepsilon$ ['ble, f'bla] (bleu [blø]), $\alpha \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon \tau \iota \varepsilon ́ v$ [andre'tjen,
 (jambon [弓殳̃'bõ]), cf fig 6.7.
fig 6.7. Possible vowel xenophonemes for French loans (including the nasalized vowels).
International Greek


Traditional Greek

$$
/ \mathbb{Q} /[\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{e}] \uparrow[\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{O}]
$$




/ã/ [an, an, en$] \uparrow[a ̃ n, ~, a ̃ n, ~, ~ \tilde{e} n]$
Neutral Greek


## 8. <br> Greek consonants

## The consonants of international Greek

8.1. Let us start from the 'international' Greek accent, which is clearly a simplified version, in comparison with what we will see soon. However, even this version is more realistic and 'natural' than many previous 'descriptions', which showed more theoretical and abstract phoneme inventories, with unnecessary complications caused by real phonemes to be derived by more general consonant sequences. Let us notice that our international Greek consonant inventory, apart from a few taxophones for $/ \mathrm{n}, 1 /$, coincides with a practical phonemic 'natural' inventory.

We are particularly talking about the 'palatalized' realizations [ $\mathfrak{n} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathfrak{f} ; \mathrm{ç}, \mathfrak{d} ; K]$, too often treated as if they actually were something like $/ \mathrm{nj} ; \mathrm{kj}, \mathrm{gj} ; \mathrm{xj}, \mathrm{\gamma j} ; \mathrm{lj} /$ (either with $/ \mathrm{j} /$ or, more presumably, $/ \mathrm{i} /$, also avoiding having $/ \mathrm{j} /$ as a true phoneme). Of course, we also posit / $\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz} /$, instead of sequences, /ts, $\mathrm{dz} /$. It is certainly better to have some phonemes more, even if with more limited distributions, than using more abstract and less obvious sequences.

In fact, there is no real advantage at all in having -nowadays- a sort of poor imitation of the objectively absurd official spelling with regard to actual Greek phonemics, although nobody could certainly deny that it is imporant etymologically, for the true meaning of so many words.

Thus, the more convenient consonant system is the one shown in fig 8.1.1-2, mainly with true constrictive consonants (rather than semiconstrictive). Although, generally, its exact phones are somewhat more offIPA than true Greek, they will inevitably be more convincing than so many other (foreign) renderings. Let us simply compare them with the neutral ones given in fig 8.3.1-2.

There are three nasal phonemes, /m, n, $\mathrm{n} /$. Let us notice that $/ \mathrm{n} /$ has three homorganic taxophones, occurring before consonants produced on different articula-
fig 8.1.1. International Greek consonants.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

tion places. Examples: $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota$ ['ma'ti], $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu o \varsigma$ ['a'mos], $\tau \eta \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \nu \alpha$ [tim'be'na], $\alpha \mu \varphi i^{i-}$

 $\varkappa \alpha \gamma \chi \alpha \sigma \mu$ ós [1kaŋxaz'mos].
fig 8.1.2. International Greek consonants: orograms.

8.2. In addition, there are four (diphonic) stop pairs, $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} /: \pi i v \omega$






There is also a pair of (diphonic) dental stopstrictives: $\tau \sigma$ ह́л $\eta$ ['t'te'pi], $\tau \sigma \dot{\alpha} ⿺$ ['tsai] (tea), $\tau \zeta \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota$ (window-glass) ['dza'mi], $\tau \zeta \alpha \mu i$ [dza'mi], $\tau \zeta i \tau \zeta \iota x \alpha \varsigma$ ['dzi'dzikas]. Sometimes, but not necessarily (since they are often felt to be rather far-fetched), loanwords may present the xenophonemes '/ t , $\mathrm{d}_{3} /$ ' $\left.[\mathrm{t}\}, \mathrm{d}_{3}\right]$, as in: $\tau \sigma \dot{\alpha} l$ ['tsai, $\uparrow^{\prime} \mathrm{f}$-] (Turkish çay [' $\left.\mathrm{f}_{\Lambda I}\right]$ ), $\tau \zeta_{\alpha \mu i}$ [dza'mi, $\uparrow d_{3}$ ] (Turkish cami [dзла'mi]). We include these xenophonemes, mainly because some speakers might use them. Similarly, for traditional, neutral, and mediatic accents: ' $/ \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{d}_{3} /$ ' $\left[\mathrm{t}_{2}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right]$ (also for ' $/ \int, 3 /$ ' dealt with below).
8.3. As for the constrictives, we have five (diphonic) pairs: /f, v; $\theta, ð ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{c}, \dot{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{x}$,






 $\chi \rho \varepsilon i \alpha$ ['xria], $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ ['ुa'ta], $\gamma \dot{v} v \alpha \tau o$ [' $\gamma \sigma$ 'nat $\sigma$ ].

Sometimes, but not necessarily (since, again, they are often felt to be rather far--fetched), loanwords may present the xenophonemes '/ $\int, 3 /$ ' $\left[\int, 3\right]$ (in international pronunciation, or $\left[\delta_{,}, z\right]$ in the other three accents), as in: $\sigma o x o \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ [s sokolata, $\left.\uparrow \uparrow_{1}-\right]$


8.4. According to the 'natural phonic' point of view, among the Greek phonemic consonants, we also include a palatal approximant, $/ \mathrm{j} /[\mathrm{j}]$, (which can be realized as a semiapproximant, [J], as well). It can certainly be kept distinct from /j/ [j], even when realized as a semiconstrictive, [ j$]$.

In fact, in addition to tendentially traditional pronunciation, mostly with [j], we can surely also find [j], mainly when even katharevousa words are uttered in a more (modern neutral) colloquial way, with $/ \mathrm{iV} /[\mathrm{iV}]$ generally becoming $/ \mathrm{jV} /[\mathrm{jV}]$ (not necessarily $/ \mathrm{j} V /[\mathrm{jV}]$, nor $[\mathrm{j} V]$ ).

We necessarily include even another xenophoneme, /w/ [w], which can alternate with /u/, as in ovioxu (whisky) [u'isci, $\uparrow^{\prime}$ wisci], also used, as a stylephoneme, in exclamations and onomatopoeias, as ovoi! [ $u^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \uparrow^{\prime} \mathrm{we}$ ], ovóou (wow!) [ $\mathrm{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{au}, \uparrow^{\prime} \mathrm{wau}$ ], ovó! [u'a(a), $\left.\uparrow^{\prime} w a(a)\right]$.
8.5. There is one rhotic phoneme, /r/[r] ([r] when preceded by an obstruent): $\rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu-$
 [xг $\sigma$ 'лa], $\gamma p u ́ \lambda o s ~[' \gamma r i l l \sigma s]$ ]. In international pronunciation, [r] is not strictly needed; that is why it does not appear in the table.

International Greek has two lateral phonemes, $/ 1, K /[1, I ; K]: \lambda \eta \gamma \gamma \omega[1 \mathrm{ir} \gamma \sigma], \gamma v \alpha \lambda i$
 $\chi \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\iota} \alpha$ ['ca`Ka], $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota o ́\left[p a^{\prime} K \sigma\right]$.

Of course, it is more 'natural' not to consider $/ K /$ as if it were $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$, also because, in non-neutral accents, we very often find even /li/ [Ki] (followed or not by a tauto-
 $\downarrow \mathrm{Ki}^{\prime} \mathrm{ma} j \mathrm{ji}$ ]. Also notice [ $\downarrow$-ni], since even for /ni/ [ni] there are non-neutral realizations, as we already know, as in: vv́ $\chi \tau \alpha$ ['nixta, $\downarrow$ 'jix-], or $\varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \chi \eta$ [ [Elini'ci, $\downarrow_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{i}$ ji'ci], while we correctly have vı่́ $\tau \alpha$ ['na'ta].

Notice that the velar phonemes become prevelar when occurring after /i, e/ in checked syllables, as in ıx $\mu \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha$ [ $\mathrm{ik}^{\prime} \mathrm{ma}$ •ða] (and vú $\chi \tau \alpha$, just seen), or $\sigma \cup \gamma \varkappa о \pi \dot{\eta}$ [sing $\sigma^{\prime} \mathrm{pi}$ ] (also note: $\sigma u ́ \gamma x \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o \varsigma$ ['sinjelos], fully assimilated).

## The consonants of traditional (or katharevousa) Greek

8.6. Also this Greek accent is somehow simplified, because it was considerably controlled and imposed, with fewer oscillations for the speakers who wanted (or tried) to use it - fig 8.2.1-2. As the international variant, this also has constrictive phones (rather than semiconstrictive), although having more realistic places of aticulation. Such as: dentalveolar [ $\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \ddagger$ ], and postpalatal [ $\mathfrak{f} ; \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{\ddagger} ; \mathfrak{c ̧}, \mathrm{d}]$, including propalatal [ $\left.\mathfrak{n}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ (instead of simply dental and palatal), and a trilled version of $/ \mathrm{r} /[\mathrm{r}]$ in tautosyllabic $/ \mathrm{Cr} /$ clusters with obstruents, [ Cr ], in either stressed, or unstressed, syllables. Also nasal taxophones are more precise, as can be seen. The xenophonemes are included, too, in case they are needed: $/ \mathrm{t} \int, d_{3} ; \int, 3 /(\& / \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{w} /)$.
fig 8.2.1. Traditional Greek consonants.

fig 8.2.2. Traditional Greek consonants: different orograms.


## The consonants of neutral (or modern demotic) Greek

8.7. Let us definitely consider, now, the consonants of the neutral Greek accent, as fig 8.3.1-2 shows. In addition to more precise articulations, what is more important to realize is that, instead of constrictives, we generally find semiconstrictive ar-
 have six taxophones (see the table).
fig 8.3.1. Neutral Greek consonants.

fig 8.3.2. Neutral Greek consonants: different orograms.


However, it must be clearly said that certain oscillations exist between semiconstrictives and true constrictives. Actually, also in traditional (katharevousa) pronunciation, in spite of being definetly more controlled, semiconstrictives could also occur, alternatingly, although less frequently. The contrary is true for neutral pronunciation.

## The consonants of mediatic Greek

fig 8.4.1. Mediatic Greek consonants.



8.8. Let us pass, now, to mediatic Greek pronunciation. As it is usual with mediatic accents in all languages, its realizations derive from the attemps generally
fig 8.4.2. Mediatic Greek consonants: different orograms.

made by different regional speakers, who try to become actual neutral speakers, without fully succeeding in their efforts.

It is no wonder, then, if we find a considerable number of possible different realizations for the phonemes we saw in fig 8.4.1-2.

Thus, it is more convenient to present them in three different tables. The first one simply shows the minimal inventory, whose main peculiarity consists in the prevelar phones shown, $[\mathfrak{\eta} ; \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{\chi}]$, which can realize our / $\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{j} /$ before $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{E} /$ (including $/ \mathrm{sC} /$ sequences, $[\mathrm{y} \mathrm{C}]$ ). Furthermore, they also occur before $/ \mathrm{a}, \sigma, \mathrm{u} /$, possibly realizing our 'palatal' phonemes also as [Cj] sequences, $[\mathrm{kj}, \mathrm{gj} ; \mathrm{xj}$, zj$]$ (including $[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{]}$, respectively after front or non-front vowels).

As in international and traditional accents, the constrictives are shown as true constrictive phones, although we will soon see, in the additional table, that a large number of both constrictive and semiconstrictive contoids are quite common in this accent. Also /r/ [z] (approximant) or [s] (ie [r] with incomplete contact) can be found.

Therefore, a close examination of all phones placed in this table is certainly useful and necessary, before considering the total table, which includes all of them. It is particularly interesting to accurately notice the large range of possibilities especially for $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} /$ (and /ts, dz/): dental, dentalveolar, lamino-alveolar, apico-alveolar, back-apico-alveolar.

Among the many possibilities for $/ \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v} ; \theta, \not, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} /$, let us notice that often, in syl-lable-final position, but mostly in word-final position, we can also find [ $[\varsigma, z ;\{\},$,$\} .$

Let us also carefully consider the other phones (in the table), which are placed either before or after the grooved ones, just seen. Notice the different possible realizations for $/ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} ; \varsigma, \mathrm{j} /$ (from palatal, and postpalatal, to prevelar), and also those for $/ \mathrm{n} ; ~ K /$ in '/ $\mathrm{CjV} /$ ' sequences: $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n} ; 1, \mathfrak{l}, \mathrm{~K}]$ (prepalatal, propalatal, palatal).

## Synoptic presentation of the consonant elements for the four Greek accents

8.9. Here, we think it useful to synoptically present the Greek consonantal phonemes that we use in this book. In our main transcriptions, we chose to propose the international accent of Greek.

In fact, although it is somewhat simplified, still, it is closer to the phonemic system which appears to be more convenient for foreign learners. In addition, it provides a more useful description of the language in both a practical and scientific way.

Arguably, as we have already said, the best way to present it consists in clearly separating it from the traditional Greek spelling, which -undeniably- is more fit for etymological than phonic considerations.

We are deeply convinced that a 18 -consonant-phoneme system is far from being the best one (someone has even proposed one with only 15 consonants!). However, it is still the predominant one, even in recent treatises, and based more on some kind of 'conjuror lucubrations' by people who passively accepted the obviously complicated and misleading inconsistencies of the traditional Greek spelling.

Thus, our own phonemic consonant system has 26 elements (in addition to 5 vowels, $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{a}, \sigma, \mathrm{u} /$, and, at least, 5 further consonant xenophonemes, $/ \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{d}_{3} ; \int, 3 ; \mathrm{w} /$. In addition, there are the taxophones, which can be seen in our tables and orograms.

Once we get accustomed to its 'many' (certainly not 'too many') but useful and necessary phonemes, the phonic structure of this nice language will certainly appear clearer and simpler. It would be very useful to produce a real Greek pronouncing dictionary with 27 (dia)phonemes, including / $\mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}], / \mathrm{j} /[\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}], / \mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{i}]$.

Of course, we will not ignore the different realizations existing between the international accent ( $i$ ) and the traditional ( $t$, which we might still call katharevousa, to avoid possible ambiguities), neutral ( $n$, or modern demotic), and mediatic ( $m$ ) ones.

In fact, each single native speaker may oscillate, more or less frequently, between all these peculiar realities, in addition to the six regional accents, which we describe in Ch 13.

This also happens in the existing teaching recordings, even those specifically prepared for pronunciation, undeniably.

However, starting from the international accent, it is quite possible to see the main differences that we can certainly find by listening to the other accents. This is also true, of course, of the vowels dealt with in $\operatorname{Ch} 6$ (and, again, in Ch 13). Arguably, also the intonation patterns of the accents dealt with must be considered ( $f$ G $10 \& G 13$ ).

In spite of what especially native phoneticians might think (perhaps somewhat hastily), the international accent, if used systematically, may be a good choice even for Greek native speakers, better than the frequent mediatic one, or than the élitist neutral one, and certainly even than the more and more detested traditional one. It is a fact, we think, that even most native speakers, including phoneticians, will be surprised at the impressive number of actual contoids presented here.

Thus, let us consider systematically all our 26 Greek consonantal phonemes, adding their inevitable/mb, nd, $n \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{ndz} /$ sequences. Of course, all their tables and orograms are shown in the sections before this $\$ 8.9$.

```
\(/ \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{i} \mid t n[\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}], m[\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}]\),
```




```
\(/ \mathrm{n} / i[\mathrm{j}], \operatorname{th}[\mathfrak{n}], m[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{nj}, \mathrm{nj} ; \mathrm{j}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{i}|t| n[\mathrm{p}], m[\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}, \downarrow \mathrm{b}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{i}|t| n \mid m[\mathrm{~b}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{mb} / i[\mathrm{mb}], t[\sim \mathrm{~b}], n[\sim \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{b}], m[\mathrm{mb}, \mathrm{mb}, \sim \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}]\left(\left[\mathrm{m}^{\#} \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~m}^{\#} \mathrm{~b},{ }^{\#} \sim \mathrm{~b},{ }^{\#} \mathrm{~b}\right] \downarrow\left[\mathrm{m}^{\#} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}^{\#} \mathrm{p},{ }^{\#} \mathrm{p}\right]\right)\),
\(/ \mathrm{t} /{ }^{i} / \mathrm{k} / n[\mathrm{t}], m[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \downarrow \mathrm{d}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n}[\mathrm{d}], m[\mathrm{~d}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{nd} / i[\mathrm{nd}], t[\sim \mathrm{~d}], n[\sim \mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{d}], m\left[\mathrm{nd}, \mathrm{rad}^{2} \sim \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}\right]\left(\left[\mathrm{r}^{\# \mathrm{~d}}, \mathrm{a}^{\#} \mathrm{~d},{ }^{\#} \sim \mathrm{~d},{ }^{\#} \mathrm{~d}\right] \downarrow\left[\mathrm{r}^{\#} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{a}^{\#} \mathrm{t},{ }^{\#} \mathrm{t}\right]\right)\)
```






```
\(/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{i}|t| n[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}], m[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}] \downarrow[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{i}|t| \mid m[\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}]\),
```




```
\(/ t s / i[t \mathrm{t}], t[\mathrm{ts}], n[\mathrm{tsc}], m[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{ts}]\),
\(/ \mathrm{dz} / i[\mathrm{~d} z], t[\mathrm{dz}], n[\mathrm{~d} z], m[\mathrm{~d} z, \mathrm{dz}, \mathrm{d} \underset{c}{ }, \mathrm{~d} z, \mathrm{~d} z]\),
```




```
\(\mid f / i / t[f], n[f], m[f, f]\),
\(|\mathrm{v}| i \mid t[\mathrm{v}], n[\mathrm{v}], m[\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}]\),
\(/ \theta / i / t[\theta], n[\theta], m[\theta, \theta, \theta, \theta]\),
\(/ \partial / i / t ð], n[\partial], m[\partial, ð, \partial, \partial]\),
```




```
\(/ ¢ ̧ / i[c], t[c ̧], n[\mathrm{H}], m[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xj} \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{c}]\),
/j/ \(\left./[\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}], \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{d}\right], n[\mathrm{j}], m[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{zj} \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}]\),
```



```
\(/ \mathrm{mj} / i[\mathrm{mj}], t[\mathrm{~m} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}], n[\mathrm{~m} \tilde{\mathrm{~h}}], m[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m} \tilde{\mathrm{~J}}, \mathrm{mj}]\),
\(\mid \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{ilt}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}], n[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}], m[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}]\),
\(\mid \gamma / i /[\gamma, \gamma], n[\gamma, \gamma], m[\gamma, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma]([r, \Omega, \beta], / \gamma r /[\gamma r, \gamma r ; \gamma r ; r])\),
\(|r| i[r], \operatorname{th}[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{Cr}], m[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{lz}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cs}, \downarrow \mathrm{l} \mathrm{Cz}]\),
```



```
\(/ K / i[K], \operatorname{tn}[\ddagger], m\left[\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{lj}, 1 \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{K}\right]\).
```

8.10. As far as we know, the $\Lambda \varepsilon \xi เ x o ́ ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ x o เ v \eta ่ \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon o \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu 亡 x \eta ่ s$, although not a real pronouncing dictionary for Greek, is the only sufficiently reliable tool for pronunciation. But let us 'translate' some of its somewhat puzzling 'transcriptions' (others will be dealt with in our Bibliography).

Consider the following 'sect formulae', which we prepared according to some of its entries. We show the international, traditional, and neutral accents, with their different realizations (only here). We omit to provide the transcriptions for the mediatic accent, which has so many different possibilities that would make transcriptions too long.

However, it will certainly be a good and useful exercise for our interested readers to provide such transcriptions by themselves, by carefully looking in $\$ 6.6$. \& $\$ 8.8$ (and, necessarily, in fig 6.4-5.1-3 \& fig 8.4.1-2):

1) ${ }^{〔}\left[\mathrm{Vi}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ ’ $=i|t| n / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{Vi}, ~ ' V i /$;
2) '[iV, iV$]$ ’ $=i|t| n \mid m / \mathrm{iV}, \mathrm{iV} /$;
3) ' $[\mathrm{iV}, \mathrm{iV}]$ ' $=i[\mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{jV}], t\left[\mathrm{iV}, \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{I} V}\right], n[\mathrm{iV}, \mathrm{jV}], m\left[\mathrm{jV}, \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{V}}\right]$;



8.11. Here are some useful examples, showing the most typical variants (except the very many for the mediatic accent, which can be found in $\$ 8.8$, and $\$ 6.6$ ):




$\alpha \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon เ \varepsilon v ́ \omega$ '[andriévo]’ $i\left[\right.$ andri'e'vo] $t\left[\right.$ andri'E'vo; -vo] n[aandri' $\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} V o\right]$,













Sior $\alpha$ '[8íika]’ ilt['ðiika] n['Aiika],





$\mu i \alpha$ ‘[mía]’ $i \mid t n n[$ 'mia],
$\mu \iota \alpha$ '[mñá]' $i$ ['mja] $t[$ 'mãa $n$ ['mãa],

$\pi o \iota o v^{\prime}$ [pión]' i['pjon] t['pjon] n['pฝonn],







${ }^{\iota} \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s ~ ‘[i a t r o ́ s] ’ ~ i[j a ' t r \sigma s] ~ t[i a ' t r o s] n[$ ia'troș, ja-].

## 13. <br> Regional accents

fig 13.01. Political map of Greece and Cyprus.

13.0. All figures show the vowels and intonation patterns of each of the six regional accents shown in the geophonic map of fig 13.2. Each figure should be carefully compared with the international, traditional, neutral, and mediatic ones.

As for the consonants, we will indicate the most peculiar realizations, although oscillations between different accents are certainly possible, for speakers and words
fig 13.01. Accent map of Greece and Cyprus.

(including between the traditional, neutral, and mediatic accents).
We will mainly consider the nature of constrictives (or semiconstrictives), the quality of $|\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}|,|\mathrm{c}, \mp ; \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{j} /,|\mathrm{l}, ~ K /, /||,|\mathrm{r} /| \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, ,\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{dz} /$, syllable length. All this, will be done more or less explicitly in comparison with what has been said in $\mathfrak{F} 6 \& \sqrt{6} 8-10$.

## North (from Thrace to Lesbos \& Corfu)

13.1. As fig 13.1 shows, final unstressed $\mathrm{i} /$ becomes $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}, \emptyset]$, while unstressed word-internal $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{u} /$ may become $[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{u}]$. Most typically, unstressed $/ \mathrm{E}, \sigma /$ tend to become $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{u} /$ with the taxophones shown.

Another broader peculiarity, which can be found in the North, is that, in
 tion, or after consonants.

The constrictives tend to be real constrictive more often than semiconstrictive. For $|s, z|,\left[s, z_{c}\right]$ and $[s, z]$ are rather frequent (even $[s, z]$, and, in broader accents, $[\delta, ~ z]$ too), either sytematically, or in $/{ }^{\#} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{C} /$ clusters with $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k} / ; / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} /$ can be $[\mathrm{k}$ ç,

 ł, 1] (in Thrace also [u]; at Corfu, we may find /li/ [ id ], /lu/ [lu]); /r/ [r, r, z] (the se-
 while / $\mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C} /$ / frequently remain [ $\mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C}$ ] (with homorganic / $\mathrm{n} /$ ); occasionally, /ts/ can be [ţ̦], especially in Lesbo.
fig 13.1 adds the intonation patterns for Corfu, in addition to the more general nothern ones.
fig 13.2 shows further broader typical general variants, and for three north-western places.
fig 13.1.1. Greek Regional accent: North (from Thrace to Lesbos and Corfu).

fig 13.1.2. Greek Regional accent: North broader and local accents.
$/ \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}} /[\downarrow \mathrm{l}], / \mathrm{i}^{\#} / \downarrow\left[\mathrm{i}_{0}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{I}, \downarrow \emptyset\right]$ $/ \mathrm{E} /[\downarrow \mathrm{L}] \downarrow \downarrow[\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{F}]$



Broader North (Larissa)
/i/ [!, o! ; ol] /E/[ $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{af} ; \mathrm{o}$ ]


$$
\mid u /\left[\mu, \rho ;{ }_{\circ} \mathrm{o}\right]
$$

$$
\mid \sigma /\left[0,{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{o} ; \mathrm{o} \mathrm{o}\right]
$$

$$
\mid \mathrm{a} /\left[\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}} ;{ }_{\mathrm{o}}^{3}\right]
$$

Broader North (Ioannina)


## South-west: Athens (Attica, southern Euboea \& Peloponnese)

fig 13.3 also shows broader realizations, in the second vocogram, including / $\mathrm{sa}^{\#}$, ${ }_{0} \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{a}^{\#} /$ with frequent [A].

The constrictives tend to be semiconstrictive more often than constrictive. For $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} /,[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]$ and $[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]$ are rather frequent, in addition to $[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}] ; / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{can}$ be $[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{kJ}$,
 li/ [ni, $\downarrow$ ni $; 1 \mathrm{l}, \downarrow \mathrm{l}$ i] (at Zakinthos, we may find /li/ $[\lambda \mathrm{i}], / \mathrm{lu} /[\mathrm{lu}]$ ); /b, d, g; dz/ are generally [ $\sim \mathrm{C}]$ or [Ç], rarely $\left[{ }^{N} \mathrm{C}\right]$ ], while $/ \mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C} /$ can be $\left[\mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C}\right]$.

In addition to $\left[\mathrm{V}^{+}{ }^{+}\right]$, we also find $\left[\mathrm{V}^{*}{ }^{\#}\right]$. The typical intonation patterns are less lively than those in several island areas (especially Ionian and Aegean), and people from other areas describe them as highly monotonous.
fig 13.2. Greek Regional accent: South-west (Athens \& Peloponnese).


## South: Cyclades

13.3. Let us notice that /o $\mathrm{na}^{\# \#},{ }_{0} \mathrm{Ka}^{\#} /$ frequently have $[\Lambda]$. The constrictives tend to be semiconstrictive, with $/ f, v /[f, v]$; for $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} /,[\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}]$ are frequent, in addition
 [ $\mathrm{Pf}, \mathrm{b} \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{dy}$; ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{z}$ ].

 $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}$; $\mathrm{dz} /$ we can find [C], but more often [ $\mathrm{C} C]$ ], less often [ $\sim \mathrm{C}$ ] and $[\mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}]$ (with sem-


Geminates are common, as [CC]. In addition to [ $\left.V^{*} V^{\#}\right]$, we also find [ $V^{\#} \#$ ].
fig 13.3. Greek Regional accent: South (Cyclades).


## Crete

13.4. Let us notice that $/ \mathrm{ona}{ }^{\#},{ }_{0} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{a}^{\#} /$ frequently have $[\Lambda]$. The constrictives tend to be either constrictive or semiconstrictive.



 while $/ \mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C}_{\triangle} /$ can be [ $\mathrm{n}^{\#} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$ ] or [ $\mathrm{n}_{\triangle}^{\#} \mathrm{C}_{\Delta}$ ].

Often the voiceless obstruents, /C/, become [C]; / $\mathrm{VN}^{\#}$ / sequences can become [ $\mathrm{VN}, \tilde{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{N}, \tilde{\mathrm{V}}]$, especially in unstressed syllables. In addition to ['V${ }^{*}+$ ], we also find $\left[V^{\#} \#\right]$ (and even $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\#}\right]$ with $/ ? /$ ), including possible $\left[\mathrm{V}_{0}\right]$, in non-slow speech.
fig 13.4. Greek Regional accent: Crete.


## South-east: the Dodecanese ( \& southern Aegean Islands, with Rhodes)

13.5. The constrictives tend to be real constrictive, in addition to possible semiconstrictive.
 $K /$ are more $\left[\mathrm{n}, \frac{1}{2} ; \mathfrak{n}, \frac{1}{7}\right]$.

Nothing particular has to be said about the other consonants, eccept that / $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k} /$ are typically [Ch], also in unstressed syllables (including [ $\left.\mathrm{p} p, \mathrm{\theta} \theta, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]$ ).

Geminates are common, as [ $\left.{ }^{C} \mathrm{Ch}\right]$. In addition to $\left[\mathrm{V}_{i^{\#}}{ }^{\prime}\right]$, we also find $\left[\mathrm{V}^{\#}{ }^{\#}\right]$.
fig 13.5. Greek Regional accent: South-east.


## Cyprus

13.6. In addition to real constrictives, also semiconstrictives are frequent. For $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz} /$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}]$ predominate (including very frequent $[\mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}]$ ); for $/ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f} /$,

 have /ni, $1 \mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{ni}, \mathrm{li}]$; often the voiceless obstruents, /C, , become [C]. In addition to [\$'CV:\#\$], we also find [\$'CV•VH\$], for the diphthongs, and ['CV(c) ${ }^{\#}$ ] (but ['CVH\$\$, $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{V}^{H}$ \$\$].

Besides, we find the local or loan phonemes, shown in the table below, $/ \mathrm{S}, 3 /[\mathrm{S}$, z] (including/sj, zi/ $\downarrow[$ d, z; ş, zु]);/t, d/ [t, d; t, d],/p, t, c, k/ can oppose/ph, th, ch, $\mathrm{kh} /[\mathrm{Ch}]$, also in unstressed syllables (including [ $\mathrm{p} \Phi, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{k}\rceil]$ ). $/ \mathrm{mj} /+/ \mathrm{V} /[\mathrm{m} \mathfrak{n}$, $\mathrm{mj}] ; / \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}$, dz/ are [C] or [ CC$]$; besides, / $\mathrm{r}, 1 /+/ \mathrm{C} /$ can typically become [ $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{l}]$.

In addition, geminate consonants, $/ C_{c} C /$, occur not only within words, but also at their beginning, even after a pause, generally as [CCCh].

When enclitics are added to a stem, there is no stress shift. Notice that stress can also occur on the fourth from the last syllable (in addition to the third from the last, as in official Greek).
fig 13.6. Greek Regional accent: Cyprus.


13.7. There follow the 'new' consonant orograms, for contoids not yet found in previous chapters.
fig 13.7. Greek Regional accent: further new contoids.


## 16.

## Ancient Greek pronunciation

16.1. Ancient or 'neutral' Classical Greek (5-4th c. вс, used by Plato \& Aristotle), had five vowels, both short and long (actually monotimbric diphthongs), with different qualities, as well as the thirteen phonemic diphthongs given in the second vocogram.

Their nature and quality result from comparisons between the different (often conflicting) opinions of present-day and past scholars, as also from loanwords in Greek (and from Greek), including those from central- and eastern-Asian languages.

Here, a transliteration is added. Some numbered notes follow, with explanations and some useful examples, although these phonopses are quite concise.

| $\alpha a$ | [e]/e/ | $\vee n$ | [n]/n/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a \mid \bar{a}$ | [a(a) ${ }^{1} / \mathrm{aa} /$ | $\xi \mathrm{ks}$ | [ks]/k/+/s/ |
| , | [e] /e/ | $\pi \quad p$ | [p]/p/ |
| $\eta \bar{e}$ | $[\varepsilon(\varepsilon)]^{1} / \varepsilon \varepsilon /$ | $p$ r | [r] $/ \mathrm{r} /$ |
| $\checkmark$ i | [r] /r/ | p rh | $[\mathrm{p}] / \mathrm{p} \mid$ |
| $i / \bar{\imath}$ | $\left[1 \mathrm{i}\right.$ (1) ${ }^{1} / \mathrm{ii} /$ | jp rrh | [re]/ri/ |
| - o | [ o ]/0/ | $\sigma,-¢ s$ | [s]/s/ (word-finally, s ) |
| $\omega \bar{o}$ |  | $s$ | $[z] / s /+\beta, \gamma, \delta ;$ |
| $y$ | $[z] / z /(\leftarrow[v])^{2}$ | $s$ | $[z] / s /+\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$ |
| $y / \bar{y}$ | $[\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{t})] / \mathrm{tu} /(+[\mathrm{uu}])^{2}$ | $\tau \quad t$ | [t] /t/ |
| $\beta b$ | [b]/b/ | $\varphi$ ph | [ph]/p/+/h/ |
| $\gamma \mathrm{g}$ | $[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} / ; \mathrm{g}[\mathrm{n}] / \mathrm{n} /+\mu, v$ | $\chi \quad k b$ | [kh] /k/+/h/ |
|  | (but $\gamma \nu-, \mathrm{gn}$ - $[\mathrm{gn}] / \mathrm{gn} /$ ); | $\psi p s$ | [ps] /p/+/s/ |
| $n$ | [ g$] / \mathrm{n} /+\gamma, \chi, \xi, \chi ;$ |  |  |
| $\delta d$ | [d] /d/ | $h$ | [ $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{V}$ \# hV ] /h/ |
| $\zeta z$ | $[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{VzzV}] / \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{zz} /(\leftarrow[\mathrm{dz}]+[\mathrm{zd}])^{2}$ |  | [ 0 ] / / 'zero' |
| $\vartheta$ th | [th] /t/ + /h/ |  | [] 1'] (mid level tone) |
| $\chi k$ | [k]/k/ | , | [] /_/ (low level tone) |
| $\lambda l$ | [1] /1/ | $\bigcirc$ | [] / / / (mid-to-low falling tone) |
| $\mu m$ | [m]/m/ |  | [.] /. (low level weak tone) |


$\mathrm{Vv} u \quad[\mathrm{Vv}] / \mathrm{Vv} /: \alpha v, a u[\mathrm{ev}] / \mathrm{ev} / ; \varepsilon v, e u[\mathrm{ev}] / \mathrm{ev} / ; \bar{\alpha} v, \bar{a} u[\mathrm{aav}] / \mathrm{aav} / ; \eta \nu, \bar{e} u[\varepsilon \varepsilon v]$ $\mid \varepsilon \varepsilon v / ; \omega v, \bar{o} u[\supset v v] / \rho v v / ;$ but ov, ou [vu]/vu/, which is the natural phonic way of showing what different scholars describe as corresponding to /oo, ov, ou, uu/, by optimizing their articulatory space in the vocogram ${ }^{2}$

Y Vị $\left[\mathrm{VV}_{1}\right]^{3}$ : for our kind of pronunciation, we show these long diphthongs as
 $\mathrm{ViV} V_{i} \mathrm{~V}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{V}\right] / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\left({ }^{(\pi)} \mathrm{V} /, \mathrm{VuV}^{2} \mathrm{Vu} \mathrm{V}[\mathrm{VuwV}] / \mathrm{Vu}^{(\#)} \mathrm{V} /\right.$ (within or between words).
${ }^{1}$ Unstressed 'long' vowels become short monophthongs, keeping their normal timbres, $[i, \varepsilon, a, \nu, u]$, which were different from true short vowels, $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}, \mathfrak{e}, \mathrm{o}, \sharp]$.
${ }^{2}$ At earlier times these vowel timbres and the articulation of $\zeta$ were as indicated after ' $\leftarrow$ '. Between vowels, $\zeta$ was geminated, $[\mathrm{zz}]|\mathrm{zz}|$. The previous intermediate stage, $[\mathrm{dz}] / \mathrm{dz} /$ (not a stopstrictive, [dz]), from a former [zd]/zd/, originated by metathesis and made up a consistent series with $[\mathrm{ps}] / \mathrm{ps} /$ and $[\mathrm{ks}] / \mathrm{ks} /$, in spite of its being 'intrinsically' voiced (structurally, a voiceless sequence, /ts/, would have been more plausible, much like $\psi$ and $\xi$, but no reliable traces or records of it have been found).
${ }^{3}$ On the other hand we get: ${ }^{{ }^{A}} \mathcal{A}_{l}($ for $\hat{\alpha}-\hat{\alpha} \iota$; different from $A \hat{i}, \alpha \hat{i}) \ldots$ In fact, $\eta-\eta l$, $\alpha-\alpha L, \omega-\omega t$, were still 'long' diphthongs, as shown: [a(a)r, $\varepsilon(\varepsilon) \mathrm{I}, \supset(\supset) \mathrm{I}]$; but, if followed

 10.16.10. Also see $\$ 10.15$ for /aai, $\varepsilon \varepsilon 1$, $\supset כ I /$ and their succeeding developments.
16.2. Besides, we had $\mathrm{Vi} \mathrm{V} \ddot{i}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{V} \ddot{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V} \ddot{i}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\forall}\right]$ with independent $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{v}$ (also stress-


In addition, intervocalic $/ \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{u} /$ (in $/ \mathrm{Vi}, \mathrm{Vu} /+/ \mathrm{V} /$ sequences, $f f$ the second vocogram) were: [ [ij, vw], ie ViV ViV [VijV]: [rijV, erjV, orjV, $\begin{aligned}\mathrm{j} V] \text { : } \pi \lambda \varepsilon i ́ o s ~ p l e ̂ i o s ~[. p l e . j o s] . ~ A l s o: ~\end{aligned}$
fig 16.1. Ancient Greek vowels and diphthongs.

 bouléuō [.bvu'lev.wo].

In diphthongs the accent mark -much like the possible breathing (either 'rough', ' $h$ [h, $\left.\mathrm{V}^{\#} \mathrm{hV}\right] / \mathrm{h} /$, or 'smooth', ' [ $\left.] / /\right)$ - is marked on the second element, even though it goes without saying that phono-tonetically (as also in its transliterated form) it is on the first one: $\alpha i \mu \alpha$ hâima [heı,me]. As we know, usual spelling does not distinguish between short $([\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{z}])$ and long ( $[\mathrm{aa}, \mathrm{ii}, \mathrm{tu}]): \alpha, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{v}$.

No doubt, in quick speech, the unstressed vowels and diphthongs might certainly have the realizations shown in the following vocograms.
fig 16.2. Ancient Greek unstressed vowels and diphthongs, in quick speech.

fig 16.3. Ancient Greek consonants.


To end with, $\vartheta, \varphi, \chi$ are voiceless 'aspirated' stops; when in sequence, both can be 'aspirated', mostly in careful speech: סipษorүos diphthongos ['dip(h).thoy.gos] (collo-
quially, also [ $\varphi, \theta, \mathrm{x}$ ] are possible ['dip.thoy.gos]). Notice also that, except for $\gamma \gamma n g$ [ gg ], doubled consonants are truly geminated (as $\zeta$ also was [zz], between vowels): $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ $\lambda \omega$ bállō ['bell, 1 ], iллоз híppos ['hıp.pos], $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \omega \mu \alpha$ perizōma [.pe'rız.zد.me]. The following table shows the consonantal phonemes and taxophones of ancient Greek.
16.3. The tonetic illustrations which follow explain the nature of the Greek accent. It combined stress (ie intensity) and pitch (ie tonality). Words with a circunflex written accent have a falling movement from a mid pitch to a low one, as shown. Those with an acute written accent have a mid pitch, very slightly ascending. The words with a grave written accent have a low pitch. See $\mathbb{\$} 16.4 \& \mathbb{}$ 16.18 for examples ( $\& \S 16.19$ for sayings).

Since this highly debated matter is still partially unsettled, and not to complicate things, it is probably better to consider the three stressed tonemic patterns, as shown in the figure.

All these tonetic movements were superimposed on the dotted lines shown in the (larger) tonograms, giving the unmarked four protunes, with theoretically all unaccented syllables and two stressed ones. Of course, they modifie those overall structures, by partially raising the pitch on their last syllable.

The first four tonograms show this change with the circumflex accent, [] / / ${ }^{\circ}$, while the middle four ones show it with the acute accent, ['] /I'; the last four show it with the grave accent, [_] /-/ '.

The four tonograms at the botton of the tonetic illustration show the realizations of the four tunes, with their clear movements, which further modify the tonetic structures just seen.
fig 16.4. Ancient Greek tonems with protunes and tunes.


16．4．Here is a transcription of the Aesopian fable，which is generally used as an example for all languages dealt with phonetically．Let us carefully consider the na－ ture of our narrow diphthongs（in the vocograms）：$\varepsilon \iota$［ $\mathrm{erI}^{\prime}$ ］，ov［vu］，$\eta-\eta \iota[\varepsilon \varepsilon I], \alpha-\alpha \iota$


They are similar to those of many modern languages，like English，Dutch，Swed－ ish，Turkish，Hindi，still described too often as if they were really＇long vowels＇，［V：］， instead of real narrow diphthongs，［VV］．We simply show that English＇［i，u：］＇are ac－ tually／ii，uu／［ri］and［ $\mathrm{Ju}, \mathrm{\mu u}]$ ，respectively．Nobody can deny this obvious fact．



＇O $\delta$ モ̀ úлò тov̂ 廿ú






 ．ho．bo＇rea ．serk＇se．me．nos ．pho＿dro．sєn．．tvu．deen＇throэ．pvuen ．．te．kho＇me．nvu ．te－ ．ses，ther．toz maallo ne＇pe．kei．to．｜
．．ho．de．hə．．po．tvup＇stu．khvus ．ke．te．po＇nvu．me．nos＇；．．e．tı，maalloŋ ．．ker．pe．．fit．to＇te－ ．re ．nes，thec．te ．．pro．selmm．be．nen＇；＇heose ．．po．ke＿moon ho．bo＇reas ．tor．helior ．me．．te－
 ．pvu ．．te．pe．rıs＿se ．ton．hi．me＇tı ．ne．po．．t．the＇me．nvu＇i ．spho＇dro．te．ron ．to，kev．mee＇pe－ ．tei．ne•＇me．khris ，hひu．pros ．te．ne＇lea nen＇te．kheim ．．me．dæ＇ne．me．nos＇！．e．．po．du＇se．me－ ．nos ．．po．te，mvu ．pe．rer＇reon．to ．se．．pı．lvu＿tro ．ne＇peє．jer．｜

Some considerations about spelling，pitch，music，verse，other literary dialects，and numerals（simply from a＇modern＇non－traditional and non－specialistic point of view）

16．5．Since we live and do phonetics in the third millennium，what will follow is thought to be necessary，in order to solve and resolve scientifically the problem of spelling and pronunciation．

Of course，some classicists，or classical philologists，＇classically＇tied to centu－ ries－old traditions（if not even thousand－year－old ones），might surely turn up their learned noses at our beliefs．Too often，＇specialists＇keep on trying to describe tradi－ tionally＇inhereted things＇，without resorting to newer and－allow us to say－more scientific methods，as Natural Phonotonetics．Unfortunately，traditions are hard to die，or even be simply modified following more recent and scientific criteria．

But it must be completely clear that we refer to the，now，highly consolidated spelling usage，after the classical period，even if－obviouysly－related to that very epoch．Nobody sane of mind would assume that Plato or Aristotle actually used
such way of writing. Of course, (ancient) tablets were a bit different from (graphic) tablets, but we must not confuse them. It is useless to remain bound to clearly outdated past 'things'.
16.6. As we have already said in $\$ 10.4$, too often even 'modern phoneticians' describe obviously unquestionale diphthongs as if the were 'long vowels'. Thus, it is not at all hard to imagine how phonetic realities could be treated in ancient times (and still believed to be like that, nowdays)!

Unfortunately, the Middle Ages are famous both for their serious studies and absurd rigmaroles, with incredible officialdom and many useless productions.

Sadly enough, in Greece nothing happened similar to what Pānini did, in ancient India, in earlier times, for rather scientific phonetics. The Greeks did know some kind of an ancient 'letter', derived by cutting H , which was quite suitable for an adequate representation of their phoneme $/ \mathrm{h} /: \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}$. In fact, r had also been used to represent drachma, as a silver coin. In Argolis, $\mathfrak{r}$ (or its variant $\mathfrak{r}$ ) was used for $\lambda$, too. In the late Hellenistic period and later on, when diacritics were systematically introduced in writing, this sign became the rough breathing, ', while the other part, $-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{f}$, became the smooth breathing, '.

But it seems that some post-classical scholiasts and grammarians were not sufficiently smart as to follow the example found even in certain Greek colonies, where that 'letter' was conveniently used as a full-fledged consonantal grapheme. Instead, they 'preferred' not to indicate their phoneme, which -it is true- was rather marginal, almost a second-hand consonant. On the othe hand, communications was certainly not as easy as it is today: they did not have ivtepvet (nor ìv iepvet).

When pre-vocalic / $\mathrm{h} /$ eventually disappeared from Koiné Greek, and its spelling was fixed by people who no longer had it in their own spoken language, nor had a clear idea of what it actually could be, it was again considered as something less important than a real consonant, either phonically or graphically. Even when $/ \mathrm{h} /$ was really present, it must have been considered as something belonging more to the realization of vowels in certain initial positions, rather than actually being a real consonantal segment.
16.7. As a matter of fact, in verse, neither ${ }^{\top} / \mathrm{h} /$, nor the $/ \mathrm{h} /$ element in $\varphi, \vartheta, \chi$, were perceived as independent phonemic segments, while, on the contary, they certainly were: h$]$, [ph; th; kh, kh]! So, absurdly, initial /h/ was not considered to be a true consonant (both phonically and graphically), but some kind of unfortunate feature belonging to the vowels, calling it rough breathing.

As in Italian, what is not clearly shown graphically, like the real (phonemic) timbres of the vowels written $e$ and $o, / e, \varepsilon ; 0, \rho /$, is currently undervalued, and even not perceived, not only by common people, but also by 'learned' people, too, like too many university teachers.
16.8. Thus, instead of using a convenient and economical consonant (like $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{r}$, or any other, possibly better), a highly inconvenient diacritic was put over lower-
-case vowels: ' (for all seven vowels). Of course, it was also to be combined with the three kinds of accent, giving ", ", ${ }^{\text { }}$ - again, for all vowels, including the three ones with the iota subscript:.

As already hinted at above, although $\varphi, \vartheta, \chi$ were certainly [ph, th, kh], however, in verse, they were degraded to something like simple [ $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}$ ], and written with simple letters, instead of: $\pi \mathrm{rt}$, $\tau \mathrm{r}$, $\mathfrak{x r}$ (more scientifically, indeed).

And what is more, as if not enough damage had already been done, they also 'invented' the extremely useless smooth breathing, meant to indicate the absence of the rough one. But, in case, to indicate a phonic 'zero', [Ø] (or simply [], certainly not [ P ], which might have required a true consonantal phonic -and perhaps also graph-ic- segment), they should have used $\dashv, \ddagger$, which they already had in previous times.

So the number of combinations of vowels and diacritics was doubled, quite unnecessarily. Luckily, upper-case vowels were not 'sentenced' the same way. In any case, there are 112 useless combinations of vowels and inconvenient diacritics! Of course, it is true that the adoption and insertion of the diacritics, over (or under) letters, was somehow imposed by the unlucky scriptio continua (with no spaces between words) and in capital letters.

Obviously their introduction was certainly not a perfidious invention. And even the smooth breathing had a justification; in fact, it helped in identifying words beginning with a vowel, as the rough breathing also did. But such 'clever expedients' were due to the technical limits of those times.
16.9. However, the unfortunate and unhappy story of the Greek spelling is not ended. In fact, although phonic diphthongs are quite clearly stressed on their first vowel element, like ['ai] (ie ái), they are 'ingeneously' written like aí, as if they were actually [aij]!

In modern Greek, although now only the acute accent is written, the current spelling still uses such an inconvenient way of showing the stress. Let us consider a simple example, in modern Greek, where a word like [1kaӨa're'vusa] is still amazingly written $\chi \alpha \vartheta \alpha \rho \varepsilon$ v́ov $\alpha \alpha$ (with an accent over what is now a consonant).
16.10. The medieval bureaucratic obsession also brought scholars to put a grave accent on any unaccented syllable, thus, producing full sequences of such grave accents. Later on, however, the grave accent was only put on the final syllable in given known cases.

Of course, in accurate phonotonetic transcriptions, any unstressed syllable must be indicated by means of a low dot, because they are uttered on a low pitch. This tonetic structure is somehow similar to that of Japanese, where (in addition to protune and tune modifications, as in Greek, too) two essential pitches are used: low and 'non--low', which is mid, not 'high' as it is still called and described.

So, a tone mark like [-] is certainly excessively too high, while ['] (ie $\llbracket-\rrbracket$, not to be confused with '-', ie a normal hyphen) is the one to be used.

When the Greek acute accent is described as the movement from a low pitch to a 'high' one, it has to be interpreted as a movement from low to mid, but not
on the same syllable，even if long，so certainly neither［］］nor［］．
Instead，it means that from a low－pitched unstressed syllable［．］the voice rises to the mid－pitched stressed syllable［门］（ie $\llbracket-\mathbb{\rrbracket}$ ，again）for the acute accent，［．＇］（ie $\llbracket .-\bar{\rrbracket})$ ． On the other hand，for the circumflex accent the movement is from the mid pitch falling to the low one，within the same syllable，［］．

Arguably，it would be extremely ridiculous to pass to a true high pitch even in Japanese，which has very similar tone patterns．So，even in Greek，the real pattern must be within the unmarked low pitch band to the marked mid one（as shown in our tonograms），either steady，［］（ie 【－】），or falling［］］．

16．11．As a matter of fact，those＇experts＇who made Greek recordings using high pitch－ es，believing to be actually reproducing what it was，in reality，made fools of themselves．

It is sufficient to quickly listen to some of the cartoon－like recordings made by Stephen G．Daitz，who passed for a renowned celebrated model to be followed．

In Greek，as in Japanese，the high pitch band is exclusively used for intonation， which is superimposed to pitch accents，for the interrogative and suspensive tunes， or for some paraphonic reasons．

Arguably，as Greek verse was generally accompanied by music，certainly with wider tonal movements than in real spoken language（otherwise it would be almost useless），we may consider＇normal＇to deform and distort utterances in order to fol－ low the musical pattern．

It is the same even in modern contemporary songs，with（even considerable）seg－ mental lengthenings，to say nothing about opera，where some phonemes may be completely ignored，as the distinction between Italian $/ \mathrm{e}, \varepsilon /$ and $/ \mathrm{o}, ~ \rho /$ ．

But，to insist in believing that real ancient Greek had to be practically＇sung＇is something which nobody can actually trust．

16．12．Passing to some requirements（very queer，indeed）that verse demand，in or－ der to＇satisfy＇metrical patterns（although completely unfamiliar in comparison with ac－ tual true language），let us consider，now，some of the forced deviations from normality．

Of course，they were accurately classified and named，otherwise－certainly－they could not be imposed，as if they were actually necessary．

So，when true language did not match with metrical structures（real superstruc－ tures，indeed），dieresis was introduced，as when normal $\pi \alpha$ is［＇peis］，had to be de－ formed into $\pi \dot{\alpha} \ddot{i} \varsigma$（which could be passed off as a legitimate disyllabic word，some－ thing like［＇pe ${ }^{H} 1 \mathrm{~s}$ ］，by doing violence to actual language）．

On the contrary，when there were too many＇syllables＇，while just one could be accepted，synizesis had to be invented，as when $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oú［＇mع $\varepsilon$ uu］，had to be made to ＇seem＇to be monosyllabic（as if it was not already such，in spite of its length）．
 to be passed off as monosyllabic or bisyllabic，respectively，having to introduce new consonantal semiapproximant taxophones，as in＇［＇thjoro，＇po．ljos］＇（and［ $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{w}$ ］for

16.13. Of course, in Natural Phonetics, $\pi$ ó $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ['poless] is already bisyllabic. In the case of $\vartheta$ ₹oi [.the'or] (as a monosyllabified word, seen above), the -ot and - $\alpha \mathrm{l}$ endings were sometimes forced to 'become short' (or, rather, to be considered as 'short'). For instance, the $-\alpha l$ of the imperative and infinitive forms, $\tau i \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$ and $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, had to be considered as ending with something 'monomoraic' like [ fr ], just seen, ie ['tii,me-

 $\vartheta \rho \omega ́ \pi \sigma$, ie [.enthroد.pvu].

Frankly, it must be said that, if those endings were really different, in the long history of grammatical Greek treatises, a way to show that fact would certainly have been devised (however crazy, as so many others).
fig 16.5. Ancient Greek semiapproximants.

16.14. However, it is true that, in singing verse with music, as a form of art in the ancient world, long vowels were certainly pronounced as bi-phonic diphthongs even when unstressed, $[i i, \varepsilon \varepsilon, a a, \supset \supset, \mathrm{mu}]$, not as $[\mathrm{i}, \varepsilon, \mathrm{a}, \rho, \mathrm{u}]$ (as in real spoken language, where they still remained different from their short counterparts, [r, e, e, $o, \sharp]$, thanks to their timbres).

We must add that a language like ancient Greek certainly syllabified its words in a more natural way than the verse 'rules' would make us believe, including in word formation.




 .the, 'ge.greq.the], $\tau ย \vartheta v \varepsilon ́ \xi \omega ~[. t e t h ' n e k . s っ], ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \vartheta \lambda o ́ s ~[. e s ' t h l o s] . ~$
16.15. Interestingly, there is a fascinating hypothesis (more likely than not, indeed), which leads us to consider the Hellenistic-Byzantine introduction of iota sub$\operatorname{script}(\eta, \alpha, \omega)$ as a kind of diagraphemic way to hint at a possible sociophonic diaphonemic reality dealing with the change from $/ \varepsilon \varepsilon$ I, aaI, ээı/ [ $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ I, aaI, ээı] $\eta \iota, \alpha \iota$, $\omega \iota$ (sec-
ond vocogram) to their succeeding actual reality, during the Classical period: $\mid \varepsilon \varepsilon$, aa, $\nu \supset /[\varepsilon \varepsilon$, aa, $\supset \supset]$ (sixth vocogram), which coincide with the previous long phonemes (already seen in a vocogram at $\$ 124.1$ ).

In fact, different people in different periods (within the $5-4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{c}$.) might certainly have anticipated that change, through stages like those illustrated here.

The first vocogram helps to show the difference between the existing 'short' diph-

 elements.
 Let us pay particular attention to the symbols around the figures, which should be the only elements that differentiate the second and third vocograms. However, contrary to our usual practice, in this case we adopt a newer way of also showing greater length, by means of larger markers, as can be seen, so that the second and third vocograms appear to be different as far as segmental length is concerned.

Let us notice that the fourth vocogram shows an 'intermediate' situation possibly used by some different speakers (or by the same ones, with oscillating usages).

The fifth vocogram shows the very likely sociophonic stage of narrow (shortened 'long') diphthongs, [ $\left.\varepsilon \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\Xi}, \mathrm{z}^{2}\right]$, with their second elements pointing to $/ \mathrm{I} /[\mathrm{r}]$. Instead, the sixth vocogram shows the three monophthongized 'long' vowels.
fig 16.6. Ancient Greek: more about diphthongs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{eI} /[\mathrm{eI}] \\
& \alpha \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{er} /[\mathrm{er}]
\end{aligned}
$$


ot /or/ [or]

$\omega-\omega L$
$\rho כ I /$
$[J(\sigma) \mathrm{I}]$

$\alpha(\bar{\alpha}) / \mathrm{aa} /[\mathrm{aa}]$

16.16. The Greek literary dialects had always been a kind of artificial languages. In fact, the 'dialects' used by all authors did not depend on their ethnic origin, but on the literary genres they chose.

Therefore, the Attic dialect was used for prose, philosophy, oratory, historiography, and theatrical dialog. The Ionic dialect in elegy, epigram, and (together with the Aeolic dialect) in monodic lyric. The Doric dialect in choral lyric and lyrical parts of trage$d y$ and comedy. Here are some of the most peculiar phonic differences between these literary dialects.

While Attic changed former /uu, v/into/tut, $\forall /$ (where /uu, v/ derived both from /ou/ and contracted or compensatory lengthened /oo/, but were still different from $/ \rho /$ /), other dialects kept /uu, v/. In addition, Attic maintained /h/, while, for former /VssV/ it had three possibilities: /VssV, VsV, VttV/.

Generally, Ionic changed/uu, v/ into / $\mathrm{m}, ~ \xi /$ //o/ into/ov/, but /ei/ into /e/ (although apparently irregular); it often lost / $\mathrm{h} /$, while, for former $/ \mathrm{Vss} \mathrm{V} /$ it had two possibilies: /VssV, VttV/, and geminated /m, n, l, p, t, s/ for metrical reasons.

Aeolic changed /eı/ into $/ \varepsilon \varepsilon /$ (sometimes into/ii/); contracted /ee/ and /oo/ became / $\varepsilon \varepsilon$, $\supset /$, while original / $\varepsilon \varepsilon /$ was generally replaced by /aa/ and /ov/ by /uu/. It completely lost $/ \mathrm{h} /$, while keeping former word-internal [zd].

Doric changed original/ei, ou/ into /ee, oo/; it often had/aa/ instead of / $\varepsilon \varepsilon /$, and sometimes [je, jo] instead of /ea, eo/ for metrical reasons. Besides, it kept [zd, ss].
16.17. Now, a short note about the way of representing numerals in ancient Greece is thought to be necessary. Philosophy, astronomy, and all possible arts (except cinema and music recording, of course) were certainly treated deeply, even mathematics and geometry. Thus we find numbers like: $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}, \vartheta^{\prime}, \iota^{\prime}\left(\right.$ ie 1-10), $\iota \alpha^{\prime}, \iota \beta^{\prime}$, $\iota \gamma^{\prime}, \iota \delta^{\prime}, \iota \varepsilon^{\prime}, \iota \varsigma^{\prime}, \iota \zeta^{\prime}, \iota \eta^{\prime}, \iota \vartheta^{\prime}\left(\right.$ ie 11-19), $\chi^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, o^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}$ (ie tens from 20 to 90 ), $\rho^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}$, $\tau^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}, \chi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}($ ie hundreds from 100 to 900 ), $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (ie thousands from 1000 to 3000 ), $, \iota, \chi$ (ie tens of thousands from 10.000 to 20.000), , $\rho$ (100.000). Let us see some examples: $\iota^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ (ie 12), $\lambda \xi \eta^{\prime}$ (ie 968), $\gamma \chi \pi \gamma^{\prime}$ (ie 3683).

Certainly, 'creations' like $\odot, 7(\mathrm{~J}), 1(2), 3, \varphi(q), \varsigma(5), 6,7(5), 8(8), 9$ (ie o, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, $6,7,8,9$ ) would be much better, and with 'normal' combinations of these simple ten elements, without ignoring the fundamental zero, in fact, only nothing is flawless, instead of introducing cerebral pseudo-numerical values, detrimentally based on less motivated letters. Before Archimedes, scientific precision seemed to be less important than philosophy or the fine arts. In fact, $\pi$ оди́лоus (polypus /'poləpəs/, 'many' \& $\pi$ oús


So, let us state frankly that the way in which numbers were written is decidedly far from ideal. It is also undeniably true that, in the Roman world, numbers were shown in a possible even worse way, as we all know rather well. For instance, xL, or XL, means '40', certainly not 'extra-large'!

## Intonation examples

16.18. Here are some sentences illustrating the use of intonation in classical Greek, following our riconstruction, shown at $\$ 16.3$.

[.buu'loi.me..nan helle'niz.zei .ne'pis.tes..ther.]
(I'd like to speak Greek well)
"I $\sigma \mu \varepsilon v$ тi $\lambda \varepsilon$ غ́ $\gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \eta$.
['rz.men .ti'le.geım 'buuleí.]
(We know what you mean)

['khe.rin.soi 'ho.ti , pleis.to 'ne.kho.]
(Thank you very much)
Ti ठoxeîऽ лерi тoúтov;
[¿_ti .do, kers .pe.ri'tou.tvu•]
(What do you think about it?)
Пิิऽ है $\chi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \rho \circ \vee$;
[¿..po'se.kheis 'tec.me.ron'.]
(How are you feeling today)
Поі̂ $\nprec \mu \varepsilon v$;
[¿户:роі'ımen:]
(Where are we going?)

[¿, aar hellı'nız.zess..]
(Can you speak Greek?)
'O бòs $\dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi$ òs $\chi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \tau о и ́ \tau о ;$
[.ho..so.se.del_phos .ke..te.lem'be.ne,ttou.to..]
(Does your brother understand it?)

[¿.RU_tos hik, nei.ter• 'ru.fIon.:]
(Is he coming tomorrow?)


(If you can't come on Saturday, we'll be in trouble)

['ho.te(e).phr'ko.men .ten.lı'mén.| he_neu.se.ne.lelæ.ker.]
(When I came to the harbor, the ship had gone)
 [¿.po'rev.so.mer .de (e)'ner.me.ti. ¿.....pez'zeєí.]
(Sall we go by coach, or on foot?)
 [.er'sı:' 'hen• 'dఈo• 'trıe•'tes.se.ce.: 'pen.te"]
(There are:one, two, three, four, five)

 (If you can't come on Saturday, there's no problem)


(Are we going by coach, by ship, or on foot?)

['to.de .lek.si_kon .to'jon .tio'phe.lı..mo.nes..tí.]
(This is a very useful dictionary)
Tó $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \iota x o ̀ v \tau \hat{\varrho}$ őv $\tau \iota \omega \varphi \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \iota \mu o ́ v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota . ~$
[i"to.de ..lek.si_kon .to"jon .tı'phe.lı..mo.nes..tr.]
(This is a very useful dictionary)

[^'to.de ..lek.si_kon'.to'jon .to'phe.1I..mo.nes..ti'.]
(This is a very useful dictionary)

['to.de .lek.si_kon .to"jon.tıo 'phe.lı..mo.nes..tí.]
(This is a very useful dictionary)
Tó $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \xi \iota x o ̀ v \tau \hat{\omega}$ őv $\tau \iota \omega \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \iota \mu o ́ v$ ह̇ $\sigma \tau \iota$.
['to.de ..lek.si_konr .to'jon.tı $\dot{\wedge}^{\prime \prime}$ phe. 1 r.mo.nes..tí.]
(This is a very useful dictionary)


(No, he said, I haven't done it)

[_ner'd $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ}$. ı....philo.tes..]]
(Of course, my dear)

[_neı'd $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ}$.....phr'lo.tes..」 'ev.rıon 'dek.se.je ..mon'doo.ron.]
(Of course, my dear. Tomorrow you'll have a present)


(Of course, my dear, tomorrow you'll have a present)

［．．e．pe．le＇ther．Jas．„eı．pe．」．．．e．po＇fıas ．ti．．ne＇sekho．］
（As a matter of fact，he said，I＇m not at all sure）
 $\varepsilon \beta \delta о \mu \alpha \dot{\delta} \iota \varepsilon \not \beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v ;$
 ı．．en．teI．．pe．rel＇thon．tı ．．feb．do＇me．dr•」 ¿．e＇blep．se．men．．］
（My dear，don＇t you remember we saw that picture last week？）

 ．won．tos．］
（Why did you say＇I don＇t mind＇，I wonder，when the opposite is true？）．

## Famous sayings

16．19．Here is a list of about a hunded famous sayings in classical Greek，al－ though a few do not belong to that period $\left(5-4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{c}\right)$ ．They are often used when speak－ ing English．They are given in our classical pronunciation，followed by the（mod－ ern）international one（with［j］），for a possible＇newer＇usage in colloquial language．

We do not translate（nor explain or annotate）them，since it is so easy to find them in the Net，in several languages．
 mi＇ði si＇sito．．］）
 ，kori＇ðu ne＇ortis．．］）

 ko＿Kos．parak $\sigma^{\prime} K \sigma$ г $\cdot$ i＇za＇ni．．］）
 ＇non．．］）
Aièv $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon v ́ \varepsilon \iota \nu$［．ei＿je．ne ．ris＇tev．wein．］（［E＇ena ris＇te＇vin．］）
 ðu＇Әе $\theta_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{i}$－＇ma｀xonte．］）
 ＇te．phos＇］（［an＇ðroy．баге，pifa＿non．＇pasa＇ji．．＇tafos．．］）


＂A $\pi \alpha \xi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o v$［＇he．peks• le＇go．men．．on＇］（［＇apaks• le＇ðб＇menon．．］）



Aủ兀òs है $\varphi \alpha$［．ev．．to＇se．pha．］（［af，to＇se＇fa．．］）


 'rasko.. ðe'i polla ðiðas'komenos..])




 'memneo tornaӨi'neorn.])



 (['Əбzmi pas_to.; ;cetaŋ'gay ci'naso..])


 .pe.ri'pe.tres'] ([isio'nos' 'aristos.. a-mi'nes $\theta_{\mathrm{E} .}$. peri'patris..])




Eüp $\quad$ ro! [ ['hev.re.ke] (['i'Evri,ka])



 pil' 'almata.])
 'trie'.] (['Uallasa- ce-pir. ceji'nin• ¿ka'ka 'tri'a..])
$\Theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha, \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha$ ! ['the.let.te. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'thee.let.te"] (['Өalata.. ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\theta$ allata..])


 seafton.])


 ka'k $\sigma$ no'on..])
K $\alpha \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau \eta$ [.kelliss.tır] ([kalisti])

K $\tau \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$ غ́s $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon i$ [ $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t}$ temmee se'er.] ([k'timae sai'..])

$\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \beta \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ [le,the $\cdot$ br'כ.,sas'].] ([la' $\theta_{\mathrm{E}} \cdot$ 'vjo'sas..])
Métpov äpıбтov ['me.tron• 'e.ris..ton:] ([-metron.' 'ariston.])

klus 'tarate..])





 (['nipso ,nano'mi mata.., mi'mona 'nopsin.])
Züdıvov teîqos [k'ş.lı,non ,ter.khos.] ([k'silinon 'ti'xos..])
 ([б-arӨropos.' 'fisis ,politi'korr zzoon..])
 '(iito.])
Oîvou tóvtos [,oınops.' 'pont.tos'] ([-oinops. 'pond



Oüx $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta o \iota \varsigma \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ tov̂ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ Ě $\chi$ ovtos [.vukenlle,bois' pe. re.toume'e.khont.tos.] ([ukanlavis paratumi'exorndos..])



 tinali: $\theta j a n]$.

 mos- 'pando(m) .memba'tiresti..])



'cini])
 , $\mathrm{\partial E}_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{m})$ 'meni..])
 kolon..| toeaf'tong 'nơne.])




 ..ger'tre.kher.] ([¿,ti'ta'çiston..| 'nus..| „ðjapan"'dos•, ૪ar'tıe'çi..])
 ([to'ða ri'ði.| E'am po_li.| u'ti jei'ði..])
 ([to'ði sek,samar'tin•|,ukan'ðros so'fu..])
Tò $\pi \varepsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ \varphi u \gamma \varepsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \alpha ́ \delta u ́ v \alpha \tau o v ~[. t o . p e . p r o ' m e . n o m ~ . p h ஆ . g e i ~ . n e ' d ళ . n e . t o n] ~.([. t \sigma-~$ pepro'menom fi'ji na'ðirnaton..])




([fro'nig, , ðarita'çis u,kasfa'lis..])
X $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} x \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ [..khe.le_pe• .tre.ke'leं.] ([xale'pa•,takala..])
$\Psi \cup \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ i \alpha \tau \rho \varepsilon i ̂ o \nu ~[p . s u, k h \varepsilon \varepsilon ~ . s i a, t r e ı . j o n] ~.([p s i ' c ̧ i ~ s j a ' t r i o n .]) .$.

## Hellenistic Greek

16.20. It had six short vowels and two diphthongs (which had not yet become /af, av; ef, ev/). It had the given xenophonemes (in round brackets) for loanwords, the sequences $/ \mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{ts}, \mathrm{dz}, \mathrm{ks} /$, and $[\mathrm{n} \equiv \mathrm{C}]$. There was no prenasal voicing yet, and the (ancient) tonemes had disappeared, but the opposition $C \neq C C$ was preserved.

Although belonging to (quite) different situations and epochs, these rather synthetic descriptions are clear enough.




## Byzantine Greek

16.21. It only had the five short vowels typical of present-day Greek. It preserved three xenophonemes and presented some palatalized consonant taxophones.

After nasals, diphonic consonants were already voiced /NC/ [NC], with [ $n \equiv C$ ]. Consonant gemination had been lost, and $\alpha v, \varepsilon v$ were already as they are in pres-ent-day Greek, ie sequences of /VC/ [Vf, Vv].


| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{m} \\ \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~b}) \end{array}$ | [m] | [r] | n | [n] | [ g$]$ | [ $\dagger$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | t (d) |  |  | [ $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ] | k (g) |
|  | f v | $\theta \mid s{ }_{\text {d }} \mathrm{z}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { [ç j] }}{\substack{\text { j }}}$ | x 8 |
|  |  | [1] | ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | [1] |  |  |



## English 'academic' Greek

16.22. It is the most possible far away 'reality', in comparison with all other phonopses given in this chapter, it is rather more complicated. All that, in spite of being a simplified version, ie with fewer taxophones than actually used in scientific and medical usages nowadays in English.

The first vocogram shows the 'monophthongs' (and some less favorable diphthongal variants given in the second vocogram): $v[y, \downarrow j \omega], \iota[\iota], \varepsilon[E], \alpha[\mathcal{e}, \downarrow æ], \bar{\alpha}$ $\left[\mathrm{a}^{2}, \downarrow_{\mathrm{EI}}\right]$, o $[\mathrm{d}], \omega\left[\sigma_{x}, \downarrow 30\right]$. Furthermore, $\downarrow[\partial, 3 x]$ are also included for frequent use in unstressed and stressed syllables, in accordance with typical British English practice.

The second vocogram gives the typical diphthongal realizations: $\alpha \iota[a 9, \downarrow a s(\mathrm{jV})]$,

 $(j V)], \omega \nu[\sigma \omega, \downarrow з \omega]$.

As for the consonants, let us notice: $\tau[\dagger(\mathrm{h})], \delta[\mathrm{d}], \vartheta[\theta, \downarrow \dagger(\mathrm{h})], \varphi[\mathrm{f}], \chi[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}, \downarrow \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h})$, $\downarrow \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h})], \psi\left[\mathrm{ps}, \downarrow^{\#} \mathrm{~s}\right], \xi\left[\mathrm{ks}, \downarrow^{\#} \mathrm{~s}, \downarrow^{\#} \mathrm{z}\right], \zeta[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{zq}], \lambda\left[1 \mathrm{~V}, \nmid \mathrm{C}, \mathfrak{l}^{\#}\right], \rho\left[\mathrm{r}, \downarrow_{\mathrm{I}}, \downarrow_{\downarrow}\right], \dot{\rho}\left[\mathrm{r}, \downarrow_{\mathrm{I}}, \downarrow_{-}, \uparrow \mathrm{hr}\right]$, and homorganic $\nu[n \equiv C]$ followed by a consonant, $[n ; m, m, n, \downarrow \eta, \eta, \eta] ; \sigma / \varsigma[s]$ (but: $+\mu[\mathrm{zm}], \beta[\mathrm{zb}], \delta[\mathrm{zd}], \gamma[\mathrm{zg}]$ ).




Geminates are rendered as [C] (or, possibly, as [ $\uparrow C C]$ ). A phonic zero corresponds to the 'rough breathing' ('), but some people may choose to insert $/ \mathrm{h} / \uparrow[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}]$.

Summary of main usual average English realizations (with some possible vari-



$\beta[\mathrm{b}], \gamma[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}], \delta\left[\mathrm{d}, \downarrow \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}\right], \zeta[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{zd}], \vartheta[\theta], \chi[\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h})], \lambda[1, \mathfrak{l}], \mu[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}], \nu[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}$,
 zd, zg], $\tau\left[\dagger(\mathrm{h}), \downarrow \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{h}) \uparrow \uparrow, \varphi[\mathrm{f}], \chi[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}, \downarrow \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h}), \downarrow \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{h})], \psi\left[\mathrm{ps}, \downarrow^{\#} \mathrm{~s}\right]\right.$.

There follows a possible sample of the Aesopian fable given in $\mathbb{\$} 10.4$, illustrating the kind of pronunciation generally used at school and university, unless more genuine, but more complicated, realizations are favored, as precisely in $\$ 10.4$.












## Older graphic variants in Ancient Greek

16.23. Here is the typical Greek alphabet, with some possible older variants.

```
A \((A, A, A, A, A, A, \alpha) \alpha(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, a)\),
B (B, B, B, B) \(\beta(\beta, \beta, \beta, 6)\),
\(\Gamma(Г, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma) \gamma(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma, \gamma)\),
\(\Delta(\Delta, \Delta, \Delta) \delta(\delta, \delta, \partial)\),
E (E, E, E, \(\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}) \varepsilon(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \epsilon)\),
Z (Z, Z, З) \(\zeta(\zeta, \zeta, 3)\),
\(H(H, H, H) \eta(\eta, \eta)\),
\(\Theta(\Theta, \Theta) \vartheta(\vartheta\), ๆ, \(\theta, \theta, \theta)\),
I (I) \(\iota(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{l}, 1, \mathrm{I})\),
\(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}) ~ x(\varkappa, \kappa, \mathrm{x}, \kappa, \kappa)\),
\(\Lambda(\Lambda) \lambda(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda, \nu, \lambda, \lambda)\),
M (M, M, M, M, M, (M) \(\mu(\mu, \mu)\),
\(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}) \nu(\nu, v, v, v)\),
\(\Xi(\Xi, \Xi, Z, \Xi) \xi(\xi, \xi)\),
O ( \(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}\) ) o (o, o),
\(П(П, \Pi, \Pi, \Pi) \pi(\pi, \pi, п, ~ п, ~ п, ~ \varpi)\),
P ( \(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}, \rho) \rho(\rho, \varrho, \rho, \rho, \rho)\),
\(\Sigma(\Sigma, \Sigma, C, C) \sigma-\varsigma(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \leftharpoonup,-\varsigma,-\varsigma,-\varsigma,-\varsigma,-c)\),
\(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}) \tau(\tau, \tau, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})\),
\(Y(\mathrm{Y}, \Upsilon, \mathrm{V}) \cup(v, v, v, v, \mathrm{u})\),
\(\Phi(\Phi) \varphi(\varphi, \varphi, \phi, \phi, \Phi, \phi)\),
X (X, X, X, X) \(\chi(\chi, \chi, X)\),
\(\Psi(\Psi) \psi(\psi, \psi, \Psi)\),
\(\Omega(\Omega, \Omega, \Omega, \omega) \omega(\omega, \omega, \tau)\).
```

