# English Pronunciation \& Accents (2023 ${ }^{3}$, integrations) 

5.32. A more precise description of the phoneme $/ \partial /$, in both British and American neutral accents (including a native-like international one), has five taxophones (shown in fig 5.4). In addition to the 'normal' [ $\partial]$ vocoid, we have $\left[{ }^{b}{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{e}\left|, a_{\mathrm{o}} \Lambda\right|\right]$ (in word--final position, followed by a pause), as in pizza /'piitsə/ ['phıitse, $a_{-\Lambda}$ ] (of course, in British English also, and more frequently, for /-ә!!/, as in father), pizzas /'pii†səz/ ['phritssz], Pizza Hut /'piifsshhet, $a_{-}$h $\uparrow /$ ['phrits3,he ${ }^{2}, a_{-} h \wedge \dagger$ ].

In contact with (and, certainly, if between, either in a word or in a phrase) velar consonants (/k, g, y/), we have [u]: a cook/əkok/ [urkhok].

In contact with (and, certainly, if between) apical consonants (/t, d, s, z, n, l/ and

fig 5.4. Different taxophones of $/ \mathrm{z} /$.

10.12. Let us add that, mainly in quicker (British and American) speech, unstressed



 $a[f a '$ Ieıf, fొ-, fə-].
fig 10.3. Typical triphthong reduction in quick speech (including the diphthong /-ity/).


Besides, convoying, convoyer, for /oEly; oбeət/, have $b$ [khomvout; khomvos, -oel]


 and hurrying with /iitg/, we often find [-in].

Still in quicker speech, other simplifications occurring in unstressed syllables are certainly possible. As a practical demonstration, fig 10.4 shows what can certainly be heard using the lexical root continu- in the inflection of some words.
fig 10.4. Common realizations for different endings after / $\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{n} \dagger \mathrm{\imath n} / /$.

14.7. Here is a concise summary of 'aspiration' for $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t} /$. We have to distinguish the (voiceless laryngeal) approximant [h] and the semi-approximant [h]; the latter is weaker, less energetic, than the former. We will show how they are used.

In stressed syllables, we find ['Ch] (except for ['sC]). In half-stressed syllables, we have [ $\left.{ }_{1} \mathrm{Ch}\right]$ (and, of couse, [ sC$]$ ). Usually, we find [ h$]$ also in unstressed syllables, in word-initial position, after silence (as isolated words are, too): [|\# Ch$]$. Instead, we have a zero phone, in unstressed syllables, after a heterosyllabic phone, either a vowel or a consonant: [ $\left.\mathrm{V}^{H} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CC}\right]$.

Here are some illustrative examples (in international pronunciation, for simplicity), and shown only for /p/: people /'piipəғ/ ['phiip̀t], anticipate /æn'tsəəpett/ [æn'†hts-ә„phetf], potential/pə'tenfəŋ/ [phə'therffəŋ], (an) impact/'mpmekt/ ['mpæk't], (to) separate /'sepaiett/ ['SEp-ə,IEt $\dagger$ ].

Notice that /p, $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{k} /$ may be followed by $/ \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{l} /$. In addition, $[\mathrm{h}]$ might not necessarily be shown explicitly.
26.15. A brief remark concerning cases like weary, vary, tourist/'wlọュi, 'veə̣.лi,
 what we already know about /aI/. fig 26.3 shows the orograms (and labiograms) of [ə] and [ $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{f}$ ].

In British English (and the other accents structurally similar to it, like Australian and New Zealander), we have [ $V \quad \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{t} \mathrm{V}$ ], while in American English (and those similar to it, like Canadian and generally the Celtic ones), we have [ $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{V}\right]$.

It is easy to see that, articulatorily [ $[\downarrow$ ] is rather different from [I, x] (although too many -even native- 'experts' still describe them as produced the other way round!). However, the important thing is that it is rather clear that [ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{V}$ ] must have derived from $[\mathrm{V} \partial-\mathrm{I} \mathrm{V}]$, by strong assimilation and simplification.
fig 26.3. Orograms and labiograms of [a, I, L, , f].

55.17. The intonation patterns of mediatic American English differ only slightly from the neutral American ones, mostly on the tonic syllables of the interrogative and supensive tunes, where [-] is more appropriate than [', '], respectively (although a slight similar movement is still present), as shown in fig 55.8 (cf fig 41.2), which also shows a somewhat broader version of the mediatic American patterns.
fig 55.8. American English intonation patterns.


