English Pronunciation & Accents

 $(2024^6, integrations)$

5.32. A more precise description of the phoneme /a/, in both British and American neutral accents (including a native-like international one), has five taxophones (shown in fig 5.4).

In addition to the canonical [ə] vocoid, we have $[{}^{b}{}_{\circ}e|, {}^{a}{}_{\circ}A|]$, in word-final position, followed by a pause, as in *pizza* /'piifsə/ ['phiitse, ${}^{a}{}_{\circ}A|$; of course, in British English also, and more frequently, for /- $\partial(I)/$ [-3], not final, as in *father says* ['fɑrð3 'serz], *pizzas* /'piifsəz/ ['phiitsəz], *Pizza Hut* /'piifs3het/ ['phiits3,het, ${}^{a}{}_{\circ}hAt$].

In contact with (and, certainly, if between, either in a word or in a phrase) velar(ized) consonants (/k, g, ŋ, w/ and [ł]), we have [u]: *a cook* /ə'kok/ [u'khok], *sing a song* /'sıŋ a'sɒŋ/ ['sıŋ u'sɒŋ], *devil* /'devəɬ/ ['dev-ɬ, -uɬ], *believe* /b(ə)'liiv; bi-/ [bɬ'lriv, bu-] [bi"lriv] [bl'riv, b'lriv], but not ['bl-].

In contact with (and, certainly, if between) apical consonants (/[t, d]; θ , δ ; s, z; n; l/ and [1]), we have [1]: *to do* /[5'duu/ [[th1'dµu, -ʊu]].

fig 5.4. Different taxophones of |a|.



 $\mathbf{\Phi}$

10.12. Let us add that, mainly in quicker (British and American) speech, unstressed -owing, -ower /σοιη, σοοι/ become ^b[-əιŋ; -əȝ, -əɐ]] ^a[-oιŋ; -oι], as in following and follower: ^b['fol-əιŋ, 'fol-əȝ, -əɐ]], ^a['fol-oιŋ, -oι]. Rarer items like foraying and forayer, for /ɛιιŋ; ɛιəi/, have ^b[-əιŋ; -əȝ, -əɐ]] ^a[-fol-əιŋ; -əȝ, -əɐ]] ^a['fol-əιŋ; -əュ, 'fɔ:ıəュ] (less often stressed as: ^b[fo'teiŋ, fə-; -'teiȝ], ^a[fo'teiŋ, fɔ-, fə-; -'teiュ].

In very quick speech, these examples may be realized using approximant contoids: [j] (post-palatal), and [j] (*prevelar*), and [w] (*provelar* rounded), instead of the vocoids [a], [ə], and [o], respectively: *following* and *follower* ^b['fol-juŋ, 'fol-j3, -je|], ^a['falwuŋ, -wɪ], *foraying* and *forayer* ^b[-juŋ; -j3, -je|] ^a[-juŋ; -j1]; ^b['fol-juŋ; -j3, -je|] ^a['faɪ-juŋ; -j1, 'fɔr1j1]. In addition, for /i, u/ of the unstressed diphthongs /iə, uə/, we can certainly find also the approximants [j, w], instead of the vocoids [i, µ], in words like *happier*, *idiot*, and *influence*: b[hæp-j3, -jɐ]] a[-j‡], ['ud-j‡f; 'uŋflwŧns], instead of b['hæp-j3, -jɐ]] a[-j‡] ['uŋflµŧns]. Let us also see: *valuer* with /juə/ b['væl-jw3, -jwel] a[-j¥], but also: b['væl-ĝ3, -ĝe]] a[-ĝ‡], if quicker.

fig 10.3. Approximants.



fig 10.4. Typical triphthong reduction in quick speech (including the diphthong /-iuŋ/).



Besides, *convoying*, *convoyer*, for /oELI; oEƏI/, have ^b['khomyoLI; 'khomyo3, -OP] ^a['khomyoLI; 'khomyo4] (less often: ^b[khom'voTI; -'vOЭ3, -'vOЭ2] ^a[khom'voTI; -'vO94]. Satisfying and satisfyer, for /aELI; aEƏI/, have ^b['sæt-LSfELI; -fe3, -fe2] ^a['sær-LSfELI; -fe4] (but also: ^b['sæt-LSfE(')LI; -fe(')3, -fe(')2] ^a['sær-LSfE(')LI; -fe(')4]). For accompanying and hurrying with /iLII/, we often find [-III].

Still in quicker speech, other simplifications occurring in unstressed syllables are certainly possible. As a practical demonstration, fig 10.4 shows what can certainly be heard using the lexical root *continu-* in the inflection of some words.

fig 10.5. Common realizations for different endings after /kən'ţın-/.



14.7. Here is a concise summary of *espiration* (rather than 'aspiration') for /p, f, k; tJ. We have to distinguish the (voiceless laryngeal) approximant [h] and the semi-approximant [h]; the latter is weaker, less energetic, than the former. We will show how they are used.

In *stressed* syllables, we find ['Ch] (except for ['sC]). In *half-stressed* syllables, we have [,Ch] (and, of course, [,sC]). Usually, we find [h] also in *unstressed* syllables, in word-initial position, *after silence* (as isolated words are, too): [|[#]Ch]. Instead, we have a zero phone, in *unstressed* syllables, *after a heterosyllabic phone*, either a vowel or a consonant: [V[#]C, CC].

Here are some illustrative examples (in international pronunciation, for simplicity), and shown only for /p/: *people* /'piipə<code>‡</code>/ ['phiip‡], *anticipate* /æn'<code>fuspeut/</code> [æn'<code>fus-<code>±-ipheut]</code>, *potential* /pə'<code>fenfə</code>[‡]/ [ph±'<code>fhe</code>[‡]fi, (*an*) *impact* /'umpæk<code>t</code>/ ['umpæk<code>t</code>], (*to*) *sepa-rate* /'sepə<code>ieut/</code> ['sep-ə,<code>ieut]</code>].</code>

Notice that /p, f, k/ may be followed by /j, w, J, l/. In addition, [h] might not necessarily be shown explicitly.

 \diamondsuit

26.15. A brief remark concerning cases like *weary*, *vary*, *tourist* /ˈwuəii, 'veəii, 'tuəiəşt/ ^b['wuə-ii, 'veə-ii, 'thuə-ust] ^a['wu-ii, 'vei-ii, 'thui-ist], in order to expand on what we already know about /əi/. fig 26.3 shows the orograms (and labiograms) of [ə] and [i, i, i].

In British English (and the other accents structurally similar to it, like Australian and New Zealander), we have ['Və-IV], while in American English (and those similar to it, like Canadian and generally the Celtic ones), we have ['VI-V, 'VI-V].

It is easy to see that, articulatorily, [I] is rather different from [I, I] (although too many –even native– 'experts' still describe them as produced the other way round!). However, the important thing is that it is rather clear that ['VI-V, 'VI-V] must have derived from ['V \rightarrow -IV], by strong assimilation and simplification.

fig 26.3. Orograms and labiograms of [ə] (a static vocoid), [1, 1, 1] (dynamic contoids).



♦

55.17. The *intonation* patterns of *mediatic* American English differ only slightly from the *neutral* American ones, mostly on the tonic syllables of the interrogative and supensive tunes, where [\neg] is more appropriate than [\neg , \neg], respectively (although a slight similar movement is still present), as shown in fig 55.8 (cf fig 41.2), which also shows a somewhat broader version of the mediatic American patterns.

Neutral //[.....] /./ [. ' · .] /¿/ [¿……] /?/ [. - . ·] /;/ [; · · ' · · ' · ·] /;/ [·╰··] /;/ [;.....] $\left| \mathsf{k}, \mathsf{k} \right| \left[\cdot \ ^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathsf{I}} \left(\cdot \right) \ \cdot \right]$ Mediatic //[.....] /./ [. ' · .] /¿/ [¿……] /?/ [.-.] /;/ [; · · ' · · ' · ·] /;/ [· ⁻ · ·] $|\lambda| [\lambda \cdots \cdots)$ $\left| {,} \right| \, \left[{\cdot ^ {\, |} \left({ \cdot } \right) \, \cdot } \right]$ Broad mediatic //[....] /./ [.'..] /¿/ [¿…'..'.·] /?/ [. - .] /;/ [;...'......] /;/ [.-.] /;/ [;.....] /,/ [· ' (·) ·]

fig 55.8. American English intonation patterns.

Especially in addition to § 24.4-6 and § 24.11, let us see, in more detail, something else for the combination of /t, d; θ , δ ; s, z/.

Independently from the consonant chart of fig 13.2 and from fig 24.1-2, fig 24.3 first shows the three articulations for English /t, d/ that we need in this section: the last phone is the normal one (alveolar): [t, d], while the other two are: [t, d; t, d] (pre-dental, and dental, respectively). Here we do not present the postalveolar phones, which are used in British English before /I/: [t(h)I, dI].

But let us pass, now, to the slit consonants $/\theta$, $\partial/$, which may be realized as in the three articulations shown: [θ , ϱ ; θ , ∂ ; θ , ∂]: (lowered-tip coronal) predental, (apical) pro-dental, (apical) dental, respectively.

The other three orograms show three articulations for /s, z/: [s, z; s, z; s, z]: (apical) pre-dental, (lowered-tip coronal) dental, (raised-tip) dental (or dentalveolar), respectively.

In words like *eighth* and *width* /'EIT θ , 'wId θ /, we have: ['EIT θ , 'EIT θ ; 'wId θ , 'wId θ], while, in *hats* and *kids* /'hæts, 'kId θ /, we have: ['hæts, 'hæts; 'khrdz, 'khrdz]. In *breaths* /'bIE θ s/ and *breathes* /'bIII θ z/, we find: ^b['bIE θ s, 'bIII: θ z] ^a['bIE θ s, 'bIII: θ z].

In quick speech, for *is there any?* /'tzðəı 'Eni/ we can hear b['tzztı 'En-i, -zz-] a['tzztı 'En-i, -zz-] and for *what's the time?* /'wots ðə'taEm/, b['wots zt'tharem, 'wots, 'wot st-, st-] a['wot-, 'wʌt-].

In very broad regional accents, $|\theta, \partial|$ can become [f, v; t, d], but cases like all the way /'o:l $\partial \partial$ 'wei/ or *in the morning* /in $\partial \partial$ 'mo:inin/, even colloquially, may have: b['o:l d#'wei, 'o:l d-] a['o:l d#'wei, 'o:l d-] and [,inn+, ,inn+].

fig 24.3. Taxophones needed in this section.



\diamondsuit

Typical (American) country music vowels

We are presenting a common general vocalic set of the most typical realizations in country (o hillbilly) music.

In singing these vowels and diphthongs, they are typically realized as shown in the two vocograms below, differently from neutral accents, and usually with no taxophones, not even when followed by [1]. Indeed, they are said (sung) with full voice, as an exhibition performance typical of their artistic nature.

They are generally used as accepted model sounds for this kind of music by many singers, not to sound (too) different from all others.

In addition, vowels or diphthongs followed by a /N/, in the same syllable, are quite 'twangy' (strongly nasalized), as it also happens with *thing*, typically realized as '/ θ Euŋ/' [' θ Eŋ], instead of current / θ uŋ/ [' θ uŋ].

We do not add further examples, leaving to our readers the pleasure of finding some by themselves.

